TRANSCRIPT OF GOVERNOR'S ADVISORY BLUE RIBBON PANEL

Phoenix, Arizona February 19, 2004 9:06 a.m.

2	taken on February 19, 2004, commencing at 9:06 a.m., at
3	the Governor's Office, 1700 East Washington, Phoenix,
4	Arizona, before MARCELLA L. DAUGHTRY, a Certified Court
5	Reporter in and for the County of Maricopa, State of
6	Ari zona.
7	
8	PANEL MEMBERS:
9	Dennis Burke, Co-Chair
10	Herb Guenther, Co-Chair
11	Grant Woods, Co-Chair
12	Chase Ri vel and
13	Mi ke Branham
14	Leesa Morrison
15	John Cohen (Tel ephoni cally)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	ODLETIN AND ACCORDANCE (400) 044 0000
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	3
1	MR. BURKE: Good morning. Why don't we go
2	ahead and get started here. My name is Dennis Burke.
3	I'm the chief of staff from Governor Napolitano's
4	office and co-chair along with Grant Woods and everyone
•	Page 2

5	else on this ad hoc advisory panel on the incident at
6	Lewis on January 18th. This is the hearing fourth
7	hearing that we've conducted. And I believe
8	Mr. Cohen John, are you on the line?
9	MR. COHEN: Yes, sir, Mr. Chairman.
10	MR. BURKE: We Sheriff Vanderpool from
11	Pinal County was unable to attend this morning. I got
12	a phone call from him last night. He is attending a
13	funeral this morning actually of the former deputy
14	warden at the Department of Corrections. But we have
15	transcripts from all the prior hearings, and we will
16	have a transcript of today's hearing, and we've
17	provided those e-mail to our members. If you have not
18	received them, let me know, and I think Herb will also
19	try and set those up on a web page, also.
20	MR. GUENTHER: I'm still working with Jeff
21	on that.
22	MR. BURKE: Okay. This morning, we are
23	going to hear from a panel of professionals who were
24	involved in the tactical intelligence and negotiating
25	phase of the incident. From the FBI, Ray Churay; from
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	4
1	the Department of Corrections, Jeff Hood; from the
2	Department of Public Safety, Colonel Norm Beasley; and
3	from the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, Jesse
4	Locksa. And I want to thank all four gentlemen for
5	being here this morning to provide some background and
6	also allow us to question them.
7	l believe later today, we will also hear Page 3

8	from Warden Gaspar from the Lewis facility. And I
9	think I might be in your PowerPoint way here, Colonel,
10	so I will I will move out of the way when you begin
11	your presentation.
12	But before we do, Grant or Herb, any
13	comments before we start today?
14	MR. WOODS: No.
15	MR. GUENTHER: Ready to go.
16	MR. BURKE: Ready to go, gentlemen.
17	MR. HOOD: Thank you. Good morning. My
18	name is Jeff Hood. I'm the division director for
19	operations for the Arizona Department of Corrections,
20	and during the Lewis incident, I functioned in a shared
21	capacity with others as the on-site incident and
22	advisor of on-scene activities throughout the 15 days.
23	The gentlemen here with me this morning
24	were all instrumental throughout the incident. On the
25	team, there was approximately a total of 16 outside
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	5
1	agencies from the Department of Corrections. Resources
2	responded and provided various resources and assistance
3	throughout the incident from tactical resources to
4	negotiation resources, as well as intelligence
5	functions and other supporters as well. So it was a
6	truly a unique incident, not only for its duration, but
7	for the volume level and intricacy of the support from
8	multi-jurisdictional agencies represented from local

as well as county, state and federal resources. Page 4 $\,$

municipalities, cities and towns throughout the state,

9

11	As you will hear today, the situation, as
12	you are beginning to know, presented some very unique
13	tactical negotiating and intel challenges throughout,
14	and the data we are about to present to you this
15	morning will give you a sense of the efforts that were
16	made from a tactical, negotiating and intel
17	perspective.
18	So with that, I'll turn it over to Colonel
19	Beasley to begin the PowerPoint presentation.
20	MR. BEASLEY: What we are going to present
21	today is really from the law enforcement perspective,
22	what the various law enforcement agencies did during
23	the course. We are sort of going to team talk this.
24	Deputy Chief Locksa and Special Agent ASAC, Ray Churay,
25	we were the three lead law enforcement senior
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	6
1	commanders during the 15 days, and we are just going to
2	walk you through basically what the law enforcement
3	role was during this incident.
4	The initial response to Lewis occurred very
5	early in the morning, almost instantaneous
6	to DOC's request for assistance. The initial
7	responding agencies consisted of the Department of
8	Public Safety, the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office and
9	the Buckeye Police Department. The DPS response
10	included members of our highway patrol division; our

special operations, which is our tactical negotiations,

These

field units; one of our civil disorder teams; our

11

12

14	are our technical surveillance specialists that are
15	responsible for electronic monitoring and video
16	surveillance. We had technical support people there
17	that provided behind-the-scenes telephone and computer
18	support. We had air rescue, and we had both
19	supervisory and senior command personnel also deployed.
20	During the course of this incident, we had
21	over 230 DPS officers deployed with a core element
22	during the incident of about 75 detectives and officers
23	and surveillance specialists.
24	Chief of Maricopa County, how many did they
25	have?
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
1	MR. LOCKSA: We had over a hundred respond,
2	which included our field force personnel, our tactical
3	people and our people from the detectives from the
4	field operations.
5	MR. CHURAY: The FBI had approximately a
6	hundred people there over the course of the event. We
7	had negotiators, tactical personnel tactical
8	personnel and management.
9	MR. BEASLEY: Basically what the initial
10	role of both DPS, Maricopa County field units were was
11	to establish a perimeter around the prison in
12	conjunction with the Department of Corrections. We
13	also almost immediately established a 3-mile-5,000-foot

The initial incident command consisted of Corrections, DPS, Maricopa County Sheriff's, and on day Page 6

elevation no-fly zone around the prison.

14

15

17	four, the Federal Bureau of Investigation. And that's
18	basically what the chart looked like. The lead agency
19	was the Department of Corrections, supported by DPS,
20	FBI and Maricopa County, and that is sort of a chart of
21	the incident command system as it existed during the
22	i nci dent.
23	The tower basically was, I think as we are
24	all familiar with, a very formidable building, and it
25	was almost 20 feet high, had three stories, an 8-inch
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	8
1	reinforced concrete block. It had two-inch Lexan
2	multi-layered safety glass that were tilted out at a
3	27-degree angle.
4	As we effectually came to know during the
5	course of the 15 days, Morey's rule, and that was that
6	nothing in the Lewis prison was built the same as
7	Morey. We would do a lot of testing, a lot of
8	practicing on like towers from the two other units, and
9	when we would get to Morey, it was built completely
10	different, even to the point that we had the architects
11	on-site and actually had them deploy with our people.
12	And they were looking at their plans, what it should
13	have been, and, in fact, the architect said several
14	times, "This is not like how I drew it."
15	The tower fortifications. Inside the
16	tower, the inmates used materials inside to block

floor to the second floor -- and that would become

The stairwell door that led from the first

17

18

20	and the chained with handcuffs. The windows were
21	covered with various material from inside the tower to
22	include ceiling tiles, plastic bags, and later in the
23	incident, Inmate Wassenaar took the tarp covering from
24	the roof and used that to block the windows. He also
25	removed a light fixture actually, two light fixtures

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

9

1	from the ceiling and placed them in the windows, both
2	on the A and B side, which then allowed the inmates to
3	light up the stairway and the spline area and really
4	took away some of our nighttime operations from us.
5	The inmates, we all know about the inmates,
6	who they were. Our view from the law enforcement
7	perspective, how we viewed these inmates, A, they were
8	dangerous criminals. Two, they were used to
9	confinement. These are people that were long-term
10	prisoners. They were used to being in a confined
11	environment; therefore, having a three-story tower
12	really was almost like a condo with room service for
13	them. They also did not were not out at night, and
14	so they feared the night, in essence. As we worked
15	through this, they did nothing during the evening
16	hours.
17	Our vision from day one is that we had to
18	impact that environment to enhance both our negotiation
19	strategy, and should we have to do a tactical
20	resolution, enhance our ability to tactically resolve
21	this situation.

22

The weapons inside the tower. The inmates Page 8

were armed with an AR-15 with 20 rounds of ammunition.

24	They had a 12-gauge Remington 870 shotgun with about 10
25	rounds of ammunition. They had a 37-millimeter
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	10
1	chemical agent gas gun. They also had less lethal and
2	riot-control agent projectiles. They had grenades,
3	stinger grenades, and they had different diversion
4	devi ces.
5	How the incident command was set up and
6	practiced is we had basically three operational
7	centers. We had a tactical operations center, we had a
8	negotiations center and we had an executive command
9	center. During the course of the 15 days, there were
10	regularly scheduled briefings that occurred that began
11	at one hour; it then went to two hours; it then went to
12	three hours, depending on the situation on the ground
13	at that particular time. There was a daily scheduled
14	debriefing by speakerphone with the with the
15	director of the Department of Corrections and the
16	Governor, and then the director of Corrections came to
17	the site on numerous occasions and discussed strategies
18	and activities via speakerphone multiple times
19	throughout the day.
20	Our tactical operations center for law
21	enforcement basically directed all tactical, technical,
22	surveillance intelligence and law enforcement
23	logistical support operations, and the negotiations end
24	remained under the control of the Department of
25	Corrections.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

11

1	Basically Mr. Hood talked about there
2	were over 16 law enforcement agencies that provided
3	support and assistance during the course of this
4	incident. Our communications systems again, we
5	talked about inneroperability. We had four different
6	radio nets, but because we had a central coordination
7	center, that really never became an issue.
8	DOC had a nonencrypted radio net with
9	multiple frequencies for prison operations. DPS had
10	special surveillance and sniper frequencies, encrypted
11	radios, plus a nonencrypted general tactical frequency
12	Maricopa County Sheriff had a nonencrypted tactical
13	frequency, and the FBI had an encrypted tactical
14	frequency.
15	Early on, we deployed the FBI encrypted
16	radios to our sniper and observer positions, and again
17	all of our communications throughout this incident were
18	coordinated through our tactical operations center.
19	Negotiations staffing negotiations was
20	staffed by detectives from the Department of Public
21	Safety, the Arizona Department of Corrections, the
22	Phoenix Police Department, Maricopa County Sheriff's
23	Office, the Tempe Police Department and the Federal
24	Bureau of Investigation. We also had two negotiation
25	advisors from the FBI behavioral science unit in

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	Quantico, and we had access to a private psychologist.
2	A total of 30 negotiators were deployed
3	during this incident, 10 of which actually conducted
4	phone interviews or not phone interviews, but phone
5	negotiations with the inmates. Our negotiations were
6	conducted over land-line telephones through the
7	Department of Corrections, radio, and the inmates had
8	about five DOC radios in the tower, so some of the
9	negotiations were conducted over that DOC radio.
10	During the course, we attempted to
11	introduce what we call a hostage phone. It's specially
12	designed through some equipment to have very specific
13	sensitive communication between the hostage taker and
14	the negotiators.
15	We also deployed a Norstan unit, which is
16	basically the same thing, but from outside the
17	outside the building. During the course of the
18	incident, the inmate was able to take the Norstan unit
19	up to the roof of the tower and destroy it.
20	Basically, the negotiation strategy was to
21	always get something in return for any demands, via
22	ammunition, via a weapon and to have a hostage welfare
23	check. Again, negotiations generally occurred during
24	the daytime hours, and again, it goes back to the
25	inmates' psychology. They were not out at night. They
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

13

were not comfortable doing things at night, so their whole life really revolved around daytime operations.

3	Wassenaar was the primary person that our
4	negotiators talked to, and he would tell them you
5	know, 6:30 would come around and he would say, "Hey,
6	I'm offline for the evening," shut the hatch up, and
7	then negotiations would then pick up the next morning.
8	Depending on what was going on, some of the
9	negotiations did occur late into the evening as the
10	incident progressed. Some of the demands and I
11	think you all have heard that, but this is basically
12	we talked about food; we talked about a handcuff key,
13	the Interstate Compact transfer. Wassenaar wanted to
14	talk to his sister and family. He did also want to
15	talk to his mother, but that was denied. Coy wanted to
16	talk to his uncle and wife and talked talking to his
17	wife was also denied. They wanted to be transferred to
18	the U.S. Bureau of Prison's custody. They were very
19	concerned Wassenaar was, about his personal hygiene,
20	and a lot of items went into the tower along those
21	lines for both the inmates and the officer hostages.
22	The inmates did not want to be confined in
23	any state local jail when he was returned to trial. He
24	wanted clean clothes. He wanted the water on. He
25	wanted the power back on, and he wanted media contact.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

14

It started out with he wanted a TV interview, and then it ultimately resulted in a taped radio interview, and he wanted cigarettes.

Again, our strategy was to see the hostages daily, so that, one, we could evaluate their condition

1

2

3

4

$$\operatorname{\textsc{O21904AM}}$$ and to send a message back to them that they were not

6

8

7	forgotten. We did a medical screening when possible
8	using DPS paramedics and DOC doctors and Federal Bureau
9	of Investigation support doctors.
10	Okay. The first hostage was released,
11	Jason Auch, on day seven. Those issues that led to his
12	release included food items, some basically store
13	items, normal food items: deli meat, cigarettes, some
14	colas and turn the water back on. The water had been
15	turned off on day three, and he wanted the water back
16	on. When these demands were met, he ultimately
17	rel eased Jason Auch.
18	What we knew, then, from the debriefing of
19	Officer Auch, was we knew how many 223 rounds were
20	left. We knew how many rounds were left in the
21	shotgun. We knew where the hostages were being held.
22	We knew fortifications, what type of fortifications the
23	inmates were using. Most importantly, as we get
24	further, we knew that the now it's confirmed what we
25	thought, that the stairwell door was an access and they
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	15
1	closed it every night. And by "access," I don't know
2	if they explained that to you. That meant there was
3	just a push-button operation. You did not need a key.
4	It was controlled from the door well the stairwell
5	i tsel f.
6	Medical we did a medical evaluation on
7	day 12 by DPS paramedics, and his assessment was that

Officer (name redacted) was seriously dehydrated, had some other

9 potentially life-threatening conditions, and he gave a 10 time frame of critical mass between 24 and 72 hours. At this time, we had very serious concerns, 11 12 and we seriously considered tactically resolving this A second doctor, again -- and I might say 13 on day 12, the on-site DOC doctor pretty much concurred 14 15 with the paramedics assessment. He listened to the 16 A second doctor reviewed the tapes, talked to 17 the paramedics, and he basically said it was really not as bad, but again, gave that time frame of 48 to 72 18 19 We had a third doctor on day 13 express concern hours. 20 about Officer (name redacted)'s medical condition and gave the negotiators in command some critical points to look for 21 22 in further evaluations of her. But basically all of the doctors agreed it is extremely hard to do a 23 24 telephone examination without having the patient right 25 there.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

16

We used third-party negotiators, and the 1 2 Interstate Compact really was on the table very early 3 in the process, but Wassenaar didn't believe it. 4 was sort of a jailhouse lawyer. He believed that if anything was done under duress at the end, then it was 5 not binding. 7 The negotiators' strategy was to try to use 8 third-party relatives, people that Wassenaar trusted, 9 to convince him that this, in fact, was the real deal. They began with a tape recording of the sister. 10 DOC flew the inmate -- inmate's relatives to Arizona. 11

12 The sister did have several conversations, but really 13 her impact on the final closure was very minimal, and 14 both the sister and Wassenaar's relatives left to go 15 back to Michigan. Of course, the uncle was also flown out here, and we officially called him Uncle Bob. 16 17 Uncle Bob was effective in dealing, not only with Coy, 18 but also with Wassenaar. 19 Also, at that time, we -- through DOC, the 20 directors set this up -- was a radio taped interview 21 with KTAR. Wassenaar also wanted a TV interview, and 22 the TV station had agreed to do that but it was never 23 implemented. 24 Again, what did we do from a tactical 25 perspective? We had -- on the ground from day one, we

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

17

1 had a hostage rescue component. Our hostage rescue 2 teams, both from DPS, Maricopa County Sheriff's and the 3 Federal Bureau of Investigation, plus the supporting 4 agencies practiced daily. We practiced on the like 5 towers in the yard. We also built a mock tower behind our main assault staging location. So day in and day 6 7 out, our hostage rescue components practiced a tactical 8 resolution should that become necessary. 9 All of our deployed snipers fired at like 10 window glass. Corrections, again, very, very good. 11 They went out; they built frames at the exact angle 12 that the windows were in the tower, and that allowed our sniper personnel, both from the various law 13 enforcement agencies and the Department of Corrections, 14

	021904AM
15	to go out and actually shoot through the glass they may
16	have to shoot through.
17	We had tower floor plans and photos of both
18	the inmates and hostages posted at the staging
19	locations, so every minute of the day our HRT
20	components could look, become very, very familiar with
21	the layout of the tower, and most importantly, be able
22	to identify in a millisecond both hostages and inmates.
23	We from the tactical perspective, we
24	deployed sniper and observer teams to cover the tower.
25	We did maintain our hostage rescue capability

throughout the incident. We used our tactical

1

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

2	components to deliver food and other items to the
3	tower. Our tactical components placed electronic
4	surveillance devices in and on the tower. We prepared
5	approaches to the tower for a possible assault, should
6	we have to do that, and we were tactical units were
7	involved in psychological operations during the evening
8	hours.
9	Again, our sniper observer teams cover the
10	tower 24/7, and they were authorized to exercise deadly
11	force options under our standing warning orders.
12	Sniper observer teams also observed and reported to
13	tactical command any movement that they saw in the
14	windows, and that allowed us to chart the various
15	locations where our sniper observer teams saw movement,
16	again, to try to determine consistency.
17	That's just a slide of where we had

- deployed sniper teams. All around, basically had a 360 coverage of the tower, both first floor and second floor.
- 21 Again, this was our use of force orders.
- 22 Again, if we saw both inmates, they were positively
- identified and observed at the same time, example, one
- on the roof and one in the window, snipers were
- 25 authorized to tactically resolve the situation. If we

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

- 1 saw an inmate on the roof with a hostage or as later --
- or hostages early on and he was -- and they were armed
- 3 with a firearm and they were threatening those
- 4 hostages, they were authorized to tactically resolve
- 5 it. If we saw the inmate on the roof threatening with
- a weapon, threatening our officers, they were
- 7 authorized to tactically resolve it. And if the
- 8 hostage escaped, if there was a hostage initiated
- 9 action where the hostages tried to escape to the roof,
- 10 they were authorized to exercise the deadly force
- 11 option.
- 12 If any of those occurred, that would precipitate an
- immediate tactical assault on the tower.
- 14 Again, we want to clear this up. They
- operated under established use of force options.
- Snipers did not have to ask permission from command to
- 17 exercise those options. That is how we deploy, and at
- no time during this incident were any inmates observed
- 19 and clearly identified at the same time. Had that
- 20 happened, deadly force options would have been

21	exerci sed.
22	Again, our hostage hostage rescue
23	components were staged in both the A and the B
24	buildings. Daytime hostage rescue operations would be
25	conducted by DPS, supported by the FBI, Glendale Police
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	20
1	Department and the Tucson Police Department. Nighttime
2	hostage rescue operations would be launched by the
3	Maricopa County Sheriff's Office, assisted by the
4	particular supporting law enforcement agency on duty
5	that evening. That just gives you an example of the A
6	and B buildings where our assaults would come from.
7	We also had a rapid response assault plan
8	for both shifts; that is, if something happened within
9	the tower, the violence potential escalated, we were
10	prepared to then make an immediate resolve, both on the
11	day shift and on the night shift. And both shifts had
12	a surrender plan in place. If during the course of
13	their shift the inmates opted to surrender, we had a
14	plan in place to deal with that.
15	Again, we had a very robust intelligence
16	operations staffed by both Department of Corrections
17	and DPS, Maricopa County intelligence personnel. We
18	looked at inmate backgrounds and profiles. DOC
19	interviewed noninvolved inmates to try to get as much
20	information on the two in the tower as we could. We
21	had access to the criminal investigation interviews,
22	again, trying to build our both negotiation and

tactical strategies. DOC monitored outgoing calls from

24	021904AM other inmates to see if any information was being
25	disseminated. They looked at inmate mail, both the two
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	21
1	in the tower and in other inmates in DOC, and
2	intelligence personnel monitored our electronic
3	surveillance devices.
4	We sent intelligence detectives out into
5	the field. They briefed multiple times a day our
6	assault teams as to the progress of the incident. They
7	also brought back information from our teams that were
8	deployed around the tower, provided that to commands so
9	that we could continuously update our tactical
10	strategi es.
11	We worked very closely and I can't say
12	enough about the facilities management of DOC. They
13	almost became a subarm of law enforcement. Anything
14	that we wanted done, they did. We needed something
15	built, they did. They came up with, again, pretty
16	creative strategies as it related to our ability to
17	interdict devices into the tower and place video
18	surveillance systems.
19	Basically, we had an on-site tech
20	surveillance unit with DPS, the County, FBI, the Rocky
21	Mountain Information Network and the Mesa Police

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230 Page 19

Department. Again, they built electronic devices on

that were ultimately deployed in the ultimate

resolution of this incident.

They built video surveillance systems on scene

2223

24

25

scene.

I	Again, technical surveillance challenges
2	was very much like our tactical challenges. It was
3	very thick walls, windows, and it had a commanding view
4	of the surrounding area. Again, we looked at a lot of
5	things. We attempted standoff intercepts. We used
6	forward-looking infrared radar. We used thermal
7	imaging. We used laser. We used parabolic
8	microphones. Really nothing worked because of the
9	standoff distance that we had.
10	To show this was a true national effort, we
11	contacted NASA, Department of Defense, Department of
12	Homeland Security, the Arizona Office of Homeland
13	Security, the private technology industry and the
14	emergency response technology group, all attempting to
15	get some kind of device or devices that we could use to
16	intercept conversations to identify where people were
17	in the tower from a standoff distance. Nobody had
18	anythi ng.
19	We had video surveillance feeds all around
20	the tower. This allowed both command, tactical and
21	negotiations, to see realtime what was going on in the
22	tower. These cam assists were installed by both
23	Department of Corrections and Law enforcement technical
24	surveillance specialists. And that just gives you a
25	broad view of where we had surveillance cameras.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

2	all of the windows in the tower.
3	We also technical surveillance also
4	supported the negotiations by establishing a phone
5	recording capabilities. They also installed the
6	speakers in both the negotiation command center, which
7	was separate from the actual negotiation room, and the
8	Department of Corrections' command center so that DOC
9	and senior command could actually listen to the
10	negotiations in realtime as they were ongoing.
11	We used a surveillance aircraft with floor
12	capabilities to see if from air, shooting down, that we
13	could get any kind of movement or anything, and as much
14	the same as our ground efforts, the aircraft efforts
15	were also unsuccessful.
16	Wassenaar had a daily security check. In
17	fact, he called it that. He said, "You know, I'm going
18	to check do my security check, check my perimeter."
19	And this morning ritual occurred between 8:00 and
20	10:00 a.m. What he would look for is any electronic
21	surveillance devices that we had put on there during
22	the evening hours and any changes around the tower.
23	And he, in fact, did find several devices, and he would
24	then fish them up and destroy them. But he would lean
25	over he would spend quite a bit of time. He would
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	24
	24
1	lean over the tower, look all around to make sure that
2	he did not have any surprises.
3	Again, operation for our deliveries

deliveries were initially made using the DPS and Page 21 $\,$

5	Maricopa County robot. We initially delivered items
6	through the pass-through tray and I think you are
7	all familiar with that but after so many times, the
8	pass-through tray was disabled, so our later deliveries
9	were made to the tower at the ground level, and the
10	inmate had to pull the items to the roof.
11	We also began to use tactical teams to make
12	the food deliveries, and in doing so, we were able to
13	place electronic devices on the tower. Our teams
14	gained additional intelligence on the tower
15	fortifications. We practiced our movements to the
16	tower. We tested the inmate views of what they could
17	see out of the tower, and we opened various gates to
18	prepare for a possible tactical resolution.
19	Again, on day 13, the law enforcement
20	command met with the director of corrections and her
21	senior staff, and we made a recommendation that the
22	director approve that all \ensuremath{I} aw enforcement operations be
23	placed under the law enforcement command; that included
24	negotiations. We asked that a written psychological
25	operations plan be developed to guide operations by day

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

so that we could enhance both the negotiations strategy
and our tactical resolution strategy. Also, at that
time, there was a change in our negotiations strategy
and our negotiation advisors. The FBI brought in a new
advisor, and we felt at this time, we did need to make
a change in our strategy. But that's basically the
chain of command on day 13 for law enforcement. Page 22

8	Again, we were split into two shifts, day
9	and night. I was the incident commander law
10	enforcement on the day shift assisted by ASAC, Ray
11	Churay, and on the night shift, Deputy Chief Locksa
12	from the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office handled,
13	supported by his supporting law enforcement agencies,
14	in the evening.
15	Again, on day 13, again, we met with
16	negotiations of tactical, and, in fact, did develop a
17	7-day psyc-op plan, and again, our goal was to increase
18	both the psychological and physical pressure, thereby
19	impacting the inmates' environment and their mental
20	state.
21	Friday, day 13, we used lighting, and I
22	think all of you saw that feed. Basically, it was a
23	tit for tat. He used his lights to light up our the
24	ground around the tower. We used our lights back at
25	him to light up the tower, again, putting psychological
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	26
1	pressure on him. On Saturday day 14 was our
2	strategy that to shut the power off and open the B
3	side gates. We knew in watching him that the
4	necessities in life were very important to him, and our
5	goal was to take away those necessities.
6	We knew that the UPS system within the
7	tower would run the electric for six to eight hours,
8	and we knew that with a loss of power and that is
9	why that stairwell door is so important we knew with
10	the loss of power if they had that door closed, once Page 23

11	the power was gone, they were trapped, and that would
12	deny access to the first floor, basically water and
13	bathroom facilities.
14	Our goal with that was one, we wanted to
15	deny space. We wanted to compact them in as small a
16	space as possible. Ideally, we hoped to trap the
17	inmates on the first floor and the hostage on the
18	second floor. We also wanted to, if possible, separate
19	inmates from firearms. The real goal was to increase
20	that psychological pressure so that we could enhance
21	the negotiation process, and it would also enhance our
22	tactical advantage by having them compressed in at
23	least one floor.
24	Again, the end game really began on day 14.
25	Our tactical officers delivered food. In the process,
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	27
1	they opened all of their remaining B side gates.
2	Wassenaar, during the course of the day, realized that
3	something was wrong with the power, and then he
4	observed the gates open as the tactical officers
5	retreated to the back to their building. He became
6	very irate. It was at that time that he came out of
7	the tower roof carrying a shotgun, but again, in a
8	nonthreatening manner. Again, our snipers used the

9

10

11

12

13

opti on.

Again, what happened on day 15, exactly how Page 24

force policy, exercised extreme discipline. He never

was a threat to them, and again, had he been a threat,

they would have taken the necessary deadly force

	021904AM
14	we envisioned it, he was trapped. He was denied the
15	use of the bathroom and water. We saw an attitude
16	change. He wanted the power back on. He talked of
17	surrender, and the key for us was, he wanted to talk to
18	a previous police negotiator who he had established a
19	rapport with.
20	And his demands on the final day were he
21	wanted clean clothes. He wanted a steak meal. He
22	wanted some beer, ultimately resulted in two cans of
23	beer, cigarettes. The key thing, though, he wanted
24	that power back on. He wanted to talk to his sister
25	again. And Coy wanted to talk to his uncle in view of
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	28
1	the U.S. Bureau of Prison's vehicles. He wanted to see
2	his uncle standing with U.S. BOP vehicles.
3	Our command decision and I have nothing
4	but praise for the director. She held firm. We told
5	her we did not want to meet these demands immediately;
6	we wanted to keep that pressure on as long as possible
7	so that we were sure that this that this was a deal.
8	We during the course of this, whenever Wassenaar
9	promised something, he delivered, and what we told the

the BOP figure and the van, and that pretty much is it. Sort of sidebar, when we turned the power Page 25

agreed to that. He viewed Coy's uncle standing with

Wassenaar comes out today, not tomorrow, not three days

from now, comes out today by 6:30, we'll turn the power

negotiators -- or if you can get a promise that

back on, and that's exactly what happened.

10 11

12

13

14

15

17 back on, it didn't come back on because they had been 18 down messing with the electric box. He came out on the 19 roof and fired a round from the 37-millimeter gas gun. 20 Again, snipers, very well disciplined, maintained, did 21 not exercise the deadly force option. We had to get a 22 key from DOC to deliver it so that he could open the 23 door with a key, and as we effectually said, Morey's 24 rule was in effect; it was the wrong key so we had to 25 go back and introduce a second key.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

29

And I

1 And basically, the surrender plan is pretty 2 straightforward. They would exit to the lower tower 3 door. The inmates would remove obstacles from that 4 door. We would send a tactical team from the Federal 5 Bureau of Investigation and the DOC tactical support unit up to the tower. They would open the door with a 6 7 They would prop it open with a sandbag. would retreat about 10, 15 yards, and the inmates and 8 9 the hostage would exit. 10 That's exactly what happened. The hostage, 11 Officer (name redacted), was immediately transported to the 12 hospital and the inmates were taken in custody. 13 And just sort of in closing -- and I'll see if any of my compadres here need to say anything -- but 14 15 again, we need to look at nobody's dead. anytime -- as a commander of over 30 years doing these 16 17 kind of operations, anytime that you can walk away from 18 an operation this complex, this dangerous and you have

nobody dead, that is a very, very good thing.

Page 26

20

think the successful resolution absolutely came to the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$

21	individual professionalism, creativity, patience,
22	discipline of both the correctional and law enforcement
23	officers deployed during this crisis. Thank you.
24	MR. BURKE: Thank you, Colonel.
25	MR. HOOD: A couple of very minor points in
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	30
1	regards to the demands made by the inmates. I don't
2	want that to be construed as here's a list of cohesive
3	demands that were presented early on. In fact, that
4	was not the case. One of the things that complicated
5	the resolution was that for the first several days, the
6	inmates had no substantive demands intended to resolve
7	the situation. Their demands focused on more immediate
8	issues: handcuff key, food, cigarettes, those types of
9	thi ngs.
10	In fact, we had to introduce the strategy
11	of Interstate, and we had to do it in such a way that
12	the inmates basically ended up adopting it as their
13	own. So to perceive this as here's a list of demands
14	that need to be met, from day one was not the case. We
15	had to work over a period of obviously quite a few days
16	to get them to identify things that were necessary to
17	resol ve.
18	Secondly, just to highlight the tactical
19	situation, the tactical teams over a protracted period
20	of time obviously was headed up, for the most part, by
21	Norm Beasley and DPS during the day and Chief Locksa
22	and MCSO during the night, obviously required quite a Page 27

23

bit of supplementing over that extended period of time.

24	There were a lot of agencies that provided tactical
25	units to work in concert with DPS and MCSO over that
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	31
1	period of time. So the need to bring those new teams
2	up to speed, have them practice the assaults and the
3	rescue efforts, was ongoing throughout the 15 days.
4	Every time when we had to bring in other agencies, we
5	would bring them up to speed to provide relief to DPS
6	and MCSO. We would have to maintain that training
7	regimen and that familiarization regimen.
8	It was characterized on many occasions
9	throughout this ordeal as being an exceptionally
10	challenging tactical picture and more than once was
11	characterized as the most challenging tactical picture
12	for successful resolution that many of the command
13	staff had ever seen.
14	Lastly, a brief comment on the intel
15	efforts. I can't say enough, as Colonel Beasley
16	referenced, about the continued ongoing efforts from
17	all over every resource we could identify attempting
18	to obtain intelligence. We were not able to visually
19	see into that tower, and clearly both from primarily a
20	tactical standpoint, knowing the specific location of
21	the hostage takers and our staff, was paramount to any
22	tactical consideration.
23	The inability to see in led to repeated
24	efforts to, you know, interdict electronic
25	eavesdropping devices, as Colonel Beasley alluded. Page 28

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

32

1 That went on throughout, and we were repeatedly held 2 back due to the distance and the physical construction 3 of the tower in which they were held, but that didn't 4 stop throughout. 5 At no time were we able to get significant 6 electronic audio intel into the second floor, which is 7 primarily where the hostage takers and the hostages 8 were kept. We were able to interdict and sustain some 9 audio capability that primarily reflected activity on 10 the first floor, but despite our repeated efforts, we were never able to get a solid and sustainable source 11 for that second floor. 12 13 MR. BURKE: I take it the game plan of the two inmates was not to end up in a tower with two 14 15 hostages. 16 MR. LOCKSA: That's correct. It actually 17 was an escape attempt that went bad. Their intention 18 was to get to the tower, get some weapons, go back to 19 the kitchen area in the B building and then work their 20 way out from there. And now being armed, they had more They did not intend to be 21 of a chance of success. 22 trapped in that tower. I assume that reflected why 23 MR. BURKE: 24 they really didn't know what they wanted to -- what 25 their demands would be when they ended up in the tower.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	MR. LOCKSA: That's correct.
2	MR. BURKE: I'm going to have some
3	additional questions, but Grant?
4	MR. WOODS: Sure. Sure. Thank you for
5	that presentation.
6	Who made the decision as to who would be
7	part of the negotiating team or the crisis team that
8	assembled on day one?
9	MR. HOOD: As Colonel Beasley mentioned,
10	the initial call went out for support from MCSO, DPS
11	and Buckeye as being the closest responders. As
12	additional law enforcement resources arrived, they
13	supplemented that with negotiating personnel of their
14	own. As this became a more protracted incident,
15	additional negotiating resources were brought in,
16	particularly from the FBI, so that we could sustain
17	negotiating teams around the clock.
18	MR. WOODS: Then you all gathered out there
19	at the site?
20	MR. HOOD: Yes, sir.
21	MR. GUENTHER: On the first day?
22	MR. HOOD: At various times, people
23	responded, of course, as they became aware or were
24	asked to respond.
25	MR. WOODS: I'd like to clear up, if we
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	34
1	could, a few things that are kind of thrown out there
2	by innuendo, mainly by people in the community
	B 00

	021904AM
3	regarding the Governor's role in this, and you
4	mentioned the Governor's in your presentation that
5	the Governor was briefed on a daily basis. Did she
6	ever go out to the scene?
7	MR. HOOD: No, sir.
8	MR. WOODS: And did she ever contradict any
9	of these orders or intervene in any way to see that
10	these standing orders regarding the snipers were
11	changed in any way?
12	MR. HOOD: Not to my knowledge, sir, not at
13	all.
14	MR. BEASLEY: If I could, I talked to the
15	Governor personally on numerous occasions. She never
16	gave any direction to the law enforcement side. We
17	basically briefed her and the director of Corrections
18	in what was ongoing, but we never received any
19	direction from the Governor or anybody on the
20	Governor's staff.
21	MR. WOODS: I want to make that clear,
22	then. Would it be accurate to say, then, let's say on
23	day one, that no elected officials were on the scene or
24	showed up on the scene?
25	MR. HOOD: No, sir, that's not entirely
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	35
1	accurate. We had obviously, on the first day of any
2	incident of this magnitude, you have a lot of
•	

y responders. People showed up throughout the day. 3 had occasions where people would respond when we didn't 4 5 ask them to, and over the course of the next few days,

move those
back some of
There were
rti cul ar
. 66' - ' - -
offi ci al s
owledge, the
as Mr. Romley
my
morning on
ly evening
hi ch he
members of
s following
cene should
ey did not
d not go to
orney himself
-2230
36
e, on day
An
morni ng
:00 or 8:00
i ted?
knowl edge.
i t

9	O21904AM MR. WOODS: Well, what was he doing?
10	MR. HOOD: Upon his arrival, he joined in
11	the executive command area of the administration
12	building. As Colonel Beasley pointed out, there was a
13	command a tactical area for negotiations at hand,
14	and there was an executive command center, and
15	Mr. Romley joined in to the executive command center.
16	MR. WOODS: Was he asked to join in, or did
17	he just come in?
18	MR. HOOD: No. When he arrived, that's
19	where he was escorted to and that's where he remained
20	for the duration of his stay.
21	MR. WOODS: And what role did he play
22	during all those hours?
23	MR. HOOD: Again, during the initial hours
24	of any incident, we were trying to gather information,
25	intel, account for resources, deploy resources and so
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	37
1	forth. He was engaged in some of those conversations,
2	sharing observations and thoughts at times. Tactical
3	or negotiations would come in and share information and
4	seek direction, and I needed to make it clear on a
5	couple of occasions that that direction would come from
6	me as the incident commander at the time.
7	MR. WOODS: Rather than him?
8	MR. HOOD: On one or two occasions, yes,
9	sir.
10	MR. WOODS: What are you saying? Was he
11	trying to make the decisions or

12	021904AM MR. WOODS: In the flow of individuals
13	coming in and out of the command post during that
14	fluent situation, he would share his observations and
15	when direction was being sought, he would occasionally
16	chime in, at which point, I would provide specific
17	direction that I wanted to see taken and then follow up
18	with Mr. Romley afterwards clarifying with him that
19	there could only be one commander at that point, which
20	he acknowledged and understood and was gracious about
21	it.
22	MR. WOODS: And after he left that evening,
23	was he invited back?
24	MR. HOOD: No, sir.
25	MR. WOODS: Okay. Did you did you
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	GRITTIN AND ASSOCIATES - (002) 204-2230
	38
1	consider in reading the inmate interviews, did you
2	consider shooting Wassenaar? A lot of the inmates
3	thought that I understand the fear that if you shot
4	one without the other, then the remaining inmate would
5	inflict some sort of injury or death upon the
6	hostages, but there seemed to be quite a bit of input
7	that Wassenaar was the Leader here and that Coy would
8	fold if Wassenaar was taken out. Did you talk about
9	that?
10	MR. BEASLEY: Yes, we did. We talked about
11	that very issue. But the general consensus of all of
12	us involved is that we really couldn't trust that. If
13	we did exercise a deadly force option on one inmate,

then that would precipitate an immediate tactical

15 assault. There was no plan that we would enforce a 16 deadly force option and then try to renegotiate with 17 the remaining inmate. If we took a deadly force 18 option, that was the beginning of the end, and we would 19 make a tactical assault on the tower. 20 MR. WOODS: Did you feel the risk was too 21 high that Coy would do something before you could get 22 in there? 23 MR. BEASLEY: Yes. 24 MR. WOODS: But you did consider that 25 opti on?

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	MR. BEASLEY: Yes.
2	MR. WOODS: Okay. Just a couple of other
3	questions. Well, back to this County Attorney thing.
4	I never heard that before. Actually, given the
5	context, this is quite amazing information to me. Was
6	the County Attorney's Office I didn't see the
7	Maricopa County Attorney's Office on that flowchart or
8	any of the flowcharts as that you gave as far as
9	command. Were they involved?
10	MR. BEASLEY: They were not involved in the
11	command of the incident. They did have as Mr. Hood
12	advised, they did have a representative at the command
13	center for most of the time. Toward the end, they were
14	not there, but in the first part of the incident, they
15	did have a representative there, but he exercised no
16	command control authority.
17	MR. WOODS: Do you agree with Mr. Hood's
	Page 35

- 18 characterization of Mr. Romley's actions in the first 19 day?
- MR. BEASLEY: I was not in that room all
 the time, but I would -- I would concur that there was
 a wide variety of discussion going on by all the people
 in there, to include Mr. Romley, on various potential
 tactics, negotiation strategies. So it was pretty much
 a free-flowing think tank operation in there, so yes,

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

40

- he did provide input, as did everybody else.
- 2 MR. WOODS: And Lastly, you changed
- 3 strategy on day 13. In retrospect now, do you think
- 4 maybe you should have changed strategy earlier? It
- 5 appeared to have worked. You are the professional.
- 6 Did you see need to have that 13-day period of time in
- 7 order for the new strategy to work, or could you
- 8 perhaps have changed strategies earlier? What's your
- 9 assessment now looking back on it?

- 10 MR. BEASLEY: Our assessment is we needed
- 11 to make that change on day 13. Whether or not had we
- done that day one, would we still have gone 15 days,
- it's -- it would be mere speculation. But what we do
- 14 know is that when we deploy these kind of situations,
- we have a plan, and it's a multifaceted plan, and it
- involves a lot of psychological operations that had not
- 17 been done up until that time. So a long story to say,
- if we would have done some of those things at the very
- 19 beginning, it may not have gone 15 days. But we have
- to realize that a lot of the groundwork, the building

- 21 blocks for our success, as you said from day 13 to day 22 15, really was set day 1 through 13. And it allowed us
- to capitalize on what had already happened.
- 24 MR. CHURAY: If I could also add. At day
- 25 13, we had a great deal of intelligence that wasn't

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

- 1 available through those first 13 days. There was
- 2 information that we became aware of after the -- after
- 3 certain negotiation segments had occurred from an
- 4 intercept that we were partially successful with to
- indicate there was -- that the negotiation wasn't being
- taken seriously by the -- by the subjects. There were
- 7 indications that we began to see, and we did not have
- 8 those indications earlier. So I believe that the
- 9 change at day 13 was precipitated based on all the
- 10 intelligence that was gathered by those 13 days.
- 11 MR. HOOD: One additional comment,
- 12 Mr. Woods. There were efforts early on to increase the
- pressure, both physically and psychologically, on the
- inmates, and specifically there were -- there was
- 15 reluctance to meet some of their demands for food and
- 16 other items to be delivered. That resulted in a threat
- 17 to remove one of the officer's fingers. And
- subsequently, intel received after the release of the
- 19 first hostage supported that that was not -- that was
- 20 not perceived. It was just a bluff.
- 21 Secondly, there were tactical preparations
- 22 made early on -- I believe it was day two or day
- three -- that involved the cutting of some fence lines

24	during the middle of the night. When the inmate
25	finally observed those the following day or the day

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	after that those fences had been cut, that resulted in
2	the in the threatening of the hostages with weapons
3	and the officers being placed on either the telephone
4	or the radio I don't recall which pleading and so
5	forth. So there were some other preparations or
6	tactical options exercised early on that the reaction
7	to which did cause us some concern about how far, how
8	fast we can push in those early days.
9	MR. WOODS: Did you all ever consider
10	adopting a policy that would have said, we will not
11	negotiate with prisoners who take hostages, period?
12	MR. HOOD: That is that is a stated
13	brief statement contained in some policy documents in
14	the department; however, we also train hostage
15	negotiators. Under these circumstances with the
16	tactical options being as vastly limited as they were,
17	we didn't see a viable option but to begin negotiations
18	with them. We just didn't have a good tactical option
19	that allowed us with any degree of reasonable success
20	to dissolve that without entering into negotiations.
21	MR. LOCKSA: Many policies can be in place,
22	but what you have to do, especially when you are
23	dealing with people's lives, is you have to adjust to
24	the situation that you are facing. What we had here is
25	we had one of the most difficult situations that we

1	could imagine, because they are in command of a tower
2	that's meant not to be penetrated. They have two
3	hostages, and they have a lot of weapons, so the risk
4	is very high there.
5	So what you have to do is you have to adapt
6	to the situation, and just like in the negotiation
7	process, if we go back and we say, could we have maybe
8	done something different and it might have worked, pure
9	speculation. We don't know. Maybe the change of
10	tactics on the 13th day was the perfect time for it to
11	happen. We don't know that either. What we do know is
12	that they came out alive, which was the most desirable
13	thing we could have imagined. And if it had happened a
14	different way, you know, it's hard to say what would
15	have caused it.
16	So it's like anything else. You have to
17	adjust to what's in front of you. When you say you
18	won't negotiate, it depends on what the circumstances
19	are. A policy is a guideline that you have to adjust
20	to what's in front of you when you are talking about a
21	human life.
22	MR. BEASLEY: And negotiations really has
23	two roles. The first role and it's the most
24	important is to achieve a peaceful resolution,
25	basically nobody dead. The second role is to buy time
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

2	it tactically, if that becomes necessary. And I think
3	that's exactly what we saw unfolding here. We could
4	not have successfully resolved that incident on day
5	one. We just did not have the intelligence. We did
6	not have the assets in place to do that. As the days
7	went on, our teams became better and better and better
8	at resolving it, and by day 15, they were extremely
9	well prepared to do what they had to do if the tactical
10	resolution order was given.
11	MR. WOODS: It's fair to say, is it not, or
12	would this be inaccurate, the things that you, quote,
13	gave them in these negotiations seem pretty meaningless
14	in the big picture? In other words, you weren't
15	willing to negotiate on anything of any importance; it
16	was food and things like that with the exception of the
17	idea that they would ultimately not be put in the
18	Arizona prison system, and I believe you wouldn't want
19	them in the Arizona prison system anyway.
20	MR. HOOD: That is correct, sir. There was
21	discussion the inmates did interject a demand to
22	have certain charges only brought against them as part
23	of the negotiation. We did not go there. We told them
24	that's not something we are ever going to pursue.
25	That's not for us to decide. The pressing of charges
	, 3
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	4E

45

1 is the County Attorney, and rightly so, so we did not enter into negotiations with them on that subject, and 2 3 it ultimately did not become a problem. 4

Other demands, such as a helicopter and so Page 40 $\,$

5	forth, were obviously not met for very obvious reasons,
6	I would think, so essentially that is correct. We
7	ended up negotiating over comforts food, water,
8	those kinds of things and then agreed to place them
9	out of state, which as a management practice, we would
10	have done anyway.
11	MR. LOCKSA: Naturally, a lot of those
12	things that may seem kind of minor were very important
13	in the scheme of everything, because when you
14	understand the psychological effect, what is going on
15	with the inmates, with the hostages in there, what
16	motivates them and it's like, Wassenaar was well
17	known for being a clean freak, for lack of a better
18	term, and he needed to be clean; he needed water, so
19	this was a driving force for him, and it was very
20	significant and important to him. Every item that we
21	gave or didn't give was thought over very carefully to
22	see what the most desired effect would be from either
23	giving or not giving that particular item while
24	minimizing the risk to the hostages.
25	MS. MORRISON: Why is it a management
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	46
1	practice you were going to send the inmates out of
2	state?
3	MR. HOOD: Under these circumstances, those
4	inmates had access to information that inmates should
5	never have access to: the specific configurations of
6	those armed towers, which are replicated at other
7	facilities throughout the state; the configuration of Page 41

8	video and control capabilities from those towers; the
9	configuration of communication capabilities; as well as
10	having access to officers obviously under duress in
11	terms of how to operate those capabilities. Even
12	things such as specific interior layout of that tower
13	may become valuable to other inmates if that
14	information were to be provided to them. So from a
15	very practical standpoint, not having them in our
16	system represents an ability to better preserve the
17	security system we have in place at many locations
18	throughout the Department of Corrections.
19	MR. LOCKSA: It is also how they are viewed
20	by the other inmates in the system. In other words, by
21	conducting this standoff, they had moved themselves up
22	in the hierarchy of the prisoners' society, so they are
23	now their reputations are now built, and they'll be
24	better known in Arizona as the people that held law
25	enforcement off for 15 days. So to move them out of
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

the system diminishes somewhat their status in the
pri soner soci ety.
MR. HOOD: The movement is a standard
approach. We have approximately 100 inmates from other
systems. We have approximately 100 of our inmates
scattered throughout the various states, as well, and \boldsymbol{I}
think there has been testimony to this panel to that
previously. Those transfers occur for a variety of
reasons, either of which is the advice of management or
security concern. So this is not in any way an unusual

11	practi ce.
12	MR. RIVELAND: I have a couple of questions
13	for you. First of all, I think you all and your staff
14	should be commended for a successful venture and the
15	other agencies involved also. No matter how you look
16	at it or you learn from it, the ultimate success was
17	achieved, and I think, both from what we have heard and
18	what we have seen today, you all approached that
19	terribly professionally.
20	One addition that I had to Mr. Hunt, it
21	seems to me and is another reason to automatically
22	move inmates out of state, is there may be serious
23	concern that other staff might be going against them if
24	they are retained? Is that true in our experience?
25	MR. HOOD: That is certainly true, least to
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
1	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230 48
1	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230 48 which that it may actually occur, but that it may be
1 2	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230 48 which that it may actually occur, but that it may be alleged by the inmates to have occurred. So the
1 2 3	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230 48 which that it may actually occur, but that it may be alleged by the inmates to have occurred. So the liability or the potential liability for to us keep
1 2 3 4	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230 48 which that it may actually occur, but that it may be alleged by the inmates to have occurred. So the liability or the potential liability for to us keep them here from a legal standpoint, as well as the
1 2 3 4 5	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230 48 which that it may actually occur, but that it may be alleged by the inmates to have occurred. So the liability or the potential liability for to us keep them here from a legal standpoint, as well as the stress and the pressure that does put on our staff to
1 2 3 4 5	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230 48 which that it may actually occur, but that it may be alleged by the inmates to have occurred. So the liability or the potential liability for to us keep them here from a legal standpoint, as well as the stress and the pressure that does put on our staff to deal with them on a day-to-day basis should we continue
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230 48 which that it may actually occur, but that it may be alleged by the inmates to have occurred. So the liability or the potential liability for to us keep them here from a legal standpoint, as well as the stress and the pressure that does put on our staff to deal with them on a day-to-day basis should we continue to house them within the system, is certainly
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230 48 which that it may actually occur, but that it may be alleged by the inmates to have occurred. So the liability or the potential liability for to us keep them here from a legal standpoint, as well as the stress and the pressure that does put on our staff to deal with them on a day-to-day basis should we continue to house them within the system, is certainly challenging, and there is simply no point in running
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230 48 which that it may actually occur, but that it may be alleged by the inmates to have occurred. So the liability or the potential liability for to us keep them here from a legal standpoint, as well as the stress and the pressure that does put on our staff to deal with them on a day-to-day basis should we continue to house them within the system, is certainly challenging, and there is simply no point in running that risk when for other reasons, as well, you would

Lewis several days ago from an officer, and I think Page 43 $\,$

14	unfortunately was operating on rumored information
15	rather than factual information, but nevertheless, the
16	statement was is that the snipers could have picked off
17	the people on the first or second day that they had
18	seen them together, and we heard some response to that
19	later on. But when you were talking to Colonel about
20	the using the mock-up in the glass, when did that
21	occur when people first were able to fire at Lexan that
22	was at the same angle that previously that the tower
23	had?
24	MR. BEASLEY: I believe that occurred the
25	second or third day. I'm not but it would have been
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
1	in that time frame.
2	MR. RIVELAND: So it's best, then in the
3	first two or three days, it would have been a big
4	unknown whether they could pick them off through the
5	Lexan?
6	MR. BEASLEY: Yes. Yes. And I can tell
7	you that that absolutely did not happen.
8	MR. RIVELAND: On the first couple of days?
9	MR. BEASLEY: That did not happen through
10	the entire 15 days. There was never an opportunity to
11	tactically resolve this situation through sniper fire.
12	MR. LOCKSA: Understand, too, what would
13	have had to have happened is that both suspects would
14	have to have been clearly identified and been taken out
15	at the same time; in other words, you couldn't take one

out and then find the other one and take that person Page 44

17	out. They had to be taken out at the same time. There
18	was a concern also because the one corrections officer
19	and the one suspect by the name of Coy Looked very
20	similar. They had you know, their hair was short
21	cropped on the head. They were about the same physical
22	appearance. We needed to make sure that we weren't
23	confusing that corrections officer with the suspect.
24	So it had to clearly have them both identified before
25	that officer would be put into place, but it was

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	there for them to take.
2	MR. RIVELAND: Did the second logistical
3	profiling on the two inmates that you had available to
4	you during the course of this leave any doubt in your
5	mind as to whether they would respond if they would
6	harm the hostages if, indeed, they felt an assault
7	coming or one was taken out by a sniper?
8	MR. LOCKSA: They were both very capable of
9	doing it.
10	MR. RIVELAND: And so the assumption from
11	all of you is that that potential was likely?
12	MR. LOCKSA: There was a clear danger.
13	MR. BEASLEY: And that is why our decision
14	was not to eliminate one threat without moving forward
15	to eliminate the second threat during the assault.
16	MR. RIVELAND: Some folks, as I have seen
17	it reported to the media, sort of a couple of the
18	negotiated four items sort of made it seem bigger than
19	they really are, the two beers for example. The Page 45

20	question in my mind is, how many beers are two lives
21	worth? But nevertheless, did anybody have any
22	recognizance at all in providing such a thing given the
23	status of the negotiations at that point in time?
24	MR. BEASLEY: I can tell you that when I
25	heard that from the negotiator, \boldsymbol{I} made a recommendation
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	51
1	to the department and to Jeff Hood that this should not
2	be a show-stopper. We have this the inmates where
3	we wanted them. We are very close to a resolution, and
4	let's not worry about giving an inmate two beers.
5	MR. HOOD: If I recall correctly, the
6	initial demand in that regard by the inmates was a
7	12-pack of beer. It was subsequently reduced to a
8	6-pack. Certainly introducing alcohol under those
9	\ensuremath{kinds} of circumstances is something that would be cause
10	for concern, but as the Colonel represented, being able
11	to bring this resolution over to two 12-ounce cans of
12	beers was considered and determined to be an acceptable
13	risk.
14	MR. CHURAY: I also think that the the
15	history that through the negotiations was that when we
16	did extract a promise from Wassenaar, he kept that
17	promise, and the fact that had that not occurred,
18	perhaps it might have been a different decision;
19	however, he had been true to his promises in the past,
20	and that's when we decided that, or it was decided that
21	two cans of beer, if that would keep his promise; it

22

was worth it.

23

MR. RIVELAND: It seems to me the two parts

24	that we are looking at, one is, what led to this
25	problem and talk about how it can be prevented. And
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	52
1	the second one is, once it was there and you had this
2	formidable challenge that you all had in this fortified
3	facility, if each of you look back on it now, again,
4	with a caveat that I think you did a remarkable job but
5	we always can learn something from it, were there other
6	resources that you didn't have that you would have
7	loved to have had? Are there coordinative issues that
8	you would see if you had to do it again $\sin x$ months from
9	now that would work better for you?
10	MR. LOCKSA: I think one thing that we
11	needed and it was one of these things that you see
12	on TV where you can see through walls and pick people
13	out. We were trying real hard to find one of those,
14	and we found out that nobody had one. But as far as
15	the equipment that we needed for tactical, we had
16	pretty much everything we needed and could imagine:
17	the explosives, the sniper rifles, the weapons.
18	Everything was there. All the teams that we had were
19	very well equipped. If I can say with the exception of
20	having something that could see through those walls for
21	us, we pretty much had what we needed.
22	MR. BEASLEY: You know, probably the key to
23	the from the law enforcement side of the success
24	was, we work with each other every day. We do joint
25	tactical operations under the weapon of mass Page 47

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

53

ı	destruction program of the rast rour years. The same
2	units that were deployed are the same units that would
3	respond to a counterterrorist incident. We know each
4	other on a first-name basis. We train, we plan, we
5	exercise together. So it was an absolute seamless
6	operation. The only recommendation, quite frankly, I
7	would have for the Department of Corrections was, we
8	need to bring them into this fold, and we need to do
9	joint training with all of our partners, to include the
10	Department of Corrections.
11	MR. HOOD: A comment to Mr. Riveland in
12	regards to I echo everything that Chief Locksa and
13	Colonel Beasley said. I think that the tactical side
14	was very well orchestrated. I think that some of the
15	initial radio frequency anytime you get that many
16	jurisdictions with their own equipment, I'm not sure
17	that there's a perfect solution, but that would be
18	certainly something that may have enhanced some of the
19	communications early on, not that I think it would have
20	changed the outcome, but it did present some logistical
21	challenges whenever you have that many agencies with
22	their own communications, gear.
23	On the topic of the negotiation end, I
24	don't know that we have had as much experience
25	certainly from the Department of Correction's

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	standpoint. I can say that we have not had that level
2	of experience in terms of cross-training with other
3	hostage negotiation teams, so as we explore ways to
4	enhance interagency cooperation, I would certainly
5	think that having multi-jurisdictional hostage teams
6	also coordinating their efforts may also be beneficial.
7	I don't know how you plan, you know, for
8	having all of the possible agencies involved for what
9	turned out to be a 15-day incident. Certainly we have
10	learned from some of the lessons of the past, and we
11	will certainly learn from this, as we very well should.
12	But in hindsight, I think that a better
13	preparation of all of the negotiation components
14	available to us from simply a logistical coordination
15	standpoint may have been some benefit. But beyond
16	that, I think it was handled as best as we could.
17	I'm not aware of other tactical resources
18	that we needed, except for the devices that Chief
19	Locksa mentioned. I'm very disappointed in the movie
20	producers in what they can't do, but other than that, I
21	think we had the equipment that we needed. I think we
22	had the coordination that we needed. Some enhanced
23	cooperation not cooperation, but coordination of the
24	negotiation unit may be a benefit in the future.
25	MR. WOODS: Should DOC have encrypted
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	GRITTIN AND ASSOCIATES (662) 264 2256
	55
1	frequency radios?
2	MR HOOD: It should be something we should

3	021904AM explore. Clearly the ability to monitor channels is
4	problematic for us. We do have the radios that were
5	the inmates were able to obtain were limited, so they
6	didn't have access to all of our channels, but under
7	the circumstances and given the fact that we had
8	basically a second incident going on throughout this
9	we had an incident involving the lockdown of 4500
10	inmates in immediate proximity to this certainly
11	complicated our overall picture here.
12	So we had the tower to manage, as well as
13	needing to keep 4500 inmates maintained in a lockdown
14	situation for an extended period of time. So given the
15	magnitude of that event, we did have to communicate
16	over nonencrypted channels that the inmates did have
17	access to, so possibly increasing the capability of
18	certain radio frequencies or possibly exploring
19	encrypted channels may be something of benefit.
20	MR. RIVELAND: From the FBI's perspective,
21	you have a lot more negotiating experience than many
22	other jurisdictions. Did you think that it would be
23	hel pful?
24	MR. CHURAY: I think Colonel Beasley hit
25	the nail right on the head. All of the rest of the law
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	GRITTIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 204-2230

1	enforcements, we practice on a regular basis. We get
2	together. We do drills. We do exercises. In the
3	federal system, the FBI practices approximately once
4	every two years at the federal prisons and actually
5	develops the facilities, where if they have an event

6	021904AM such as this, they are already prepared. We are ready
7	to go in. We know one another. We have established
8	what the lines of communication would be, and I
9	would I would concur with that recommendation, that
10	state department corrections begin to set up similar
11	systems where maybe once every two or three years,
12	there is an exercise that each one of the prisons gives
13	us the opportunity to meet. It also prepares the
14	facility for an event such as that.
15	Sometimes those first few hours even
16	finding a room to locate everyone, that has enough
17	phones in it, that has enough electricity to run, has
18	enough cable to put the televisions in, the monitors
19	in, it's difficult, and it's time wasted in an extreme
20	crisis situation. So that preparation is time well
21	spent, and I would highly recommend it.
22	MR. RIVELAND: Final comment. I think that

MR. RIVELAND: Final comment. I think that your counterparts across the country have a lot to learn from you at this point, how you successfully managed a very difficult situation. It can be shared.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

MR. CHURAY: Absolutely. This is the kind
of event where everybody learns something and everybody
walks away better prepared to address the situation
like this in the future.
MR. BRANHAM: I would like to add to that
point where we had an opportunity to decide how we want
to make sure that not only Arizona law enforcement and
corrections gets a chance to review this and learn in

9	training classes with everybody, but have you talked
10	about incorporating this into the national academy
11	curricula and those kinds of things?
12	MR. CHURAY: Yes. As a matter of fact, we
13	have. There's already been a number of meetings that
14	have already been scheduled to do this presentation
15	that talk about the reasons for success that we had.
16	MR. BEASLEY: And the International
17	Association of Chiefs of Police has contacted me and
18	asked that we do a presentation at the IACP
19	international conference in Los Angeles in October.
20	MR. BRANHAM: I can think of no better
21	place than the IACP conference for that to happen.
22	That's good.
23	MR. BURKE: Mr. Guenther?
24	MR. GUENTHER: When did you feel that you
25	had a reasonable technical tactical option? I mean,
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	58
1	what day? When did you feel like you had enough to
2	know how to get in the tower if you had to and were
3	prepared to?
4	MR. LOCKSA: We had tactical options the
5	first day. There was and with tactical options,
6	there are always degrees of risk, so the more time you
7	have to concur, the more intelligence you have, and the
8	more just like practicing on the other towers and
9	stuff like that. But there were tactical options in
10	place almost immediately.

MR. GUENTHER: When did the joint tactical

12	team take over from the DOC?
13	MR. LOCKSA: We went online as soon as we
14	arrived. When we were arriving, we organized I'd
15	say we were online within a couple of hours.
16	MR. GUENTHER: So the morning hours of the
17	first day?
18	MR. LOCKSA: Yes.
19	MR. GUENTHER: There was a lot of
20	discussion about the handcuff key that was initially
21	requested on the first day. Obviously, the inmates
22	were not handcuffed because they both were in the tower
23	unhandcuffed. What was there a hesitancy in
24	providing a handcuff key to the tower for some reason?
25	MR. HOOD: Yes, Mr. Guenther. There was
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	59
1	extensive conversation about the purpose for that key.
2	We did not know in the early hours whether the officers
3	had been able to handcuff themselves to a stationary
4	object, for example. We didn't know initially whether
5	one of the inmates had been restrained in some fashion
6	during the takeover of the tower. We didn't know
7	whether or not the officers had been able to disable

know.

Inmate Wassenaar repeatedly conveyed early
on that this was for the benefit of our officers, and
frankly, it was difficult to perceive someone as having

bolt area of one of the weapons. We simply did not

restraints through either a trigger guard or through a

possibly one of the weapons by using one of the

8

9

10

11

12

13

- just taken over violently a tower as having the welfare of the staff be his primary concern, so there was great deliberation and discussion about the possible ramifications of that handcuff key being delivered, whether that would create a mobile hostage situation prior to being fully prepared for that or other possible ramifications of delivering that key. there was great discussion about that and throughout the first day. MR. GUENTHER: But Wassenaar was outside
 - MR. GUENTHER: But Wassenaar was outside the tower with the rifle firing at the officers trying

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

to detain Inmate Coy.

MR. HOOD: That is correct, during the takeover of the tower, but we didn't know what transpired either in those initial moments, if you will, or immediately following. We were not sure of the location of the hostages, where they were within the tower or where they were -- exactly what transpired after the incident that you mentioned.

MR. GUENTHER: There were attempts during the takeover to -- well, first of all, subdue Coy out in the yard where spray was used, pepper spray or mace, whatever it was. There was also indications that at some point in time while Inmate Wassenaar was firing an AR-15 from the gate -- and I believe that was the A gate that he was firing from -- that there were officers in the vicinity that tried to spray mace or some other spray on him. Have you -- did you compare

18	notes as to the type of spray that was used or why the
19	spray did not incapacitate either Inmate Coy or Inmate
20	Wassenaar?

MR. HOOD: That was never a discussion that I was aware of that occurred through the role that we encountered in responding to the incident after the inmates were already in the tower. Our focus was, what is our situation in the tower? What is our tactical

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

situation, negotiation situation and so forth? So there were not extensive conversations that I'm aware of at that time that talked about all of the precursor incidents that led up to the tower being taken.

Certainly in the intelligence, we could gather that it was pertinent to the situation that we encountered, was important to us, was interviewing the other inmates, interviewing the other staff that was involved to the extent that they could shed light on the circumstances that we encountered in terms of the particular effectiveness or lack thereof of chemical agents or other attempts prior to the takeover of the tower was not a focal point in resolving the tower situation for us.

MR. GUENTHER: So I guess what you are saying is I should ask someone else about that?

MR. HOOD: Well, with all due respect, sir, that was just in terms of our handling of the tower incident. We weren't focused on all of the particular events that led up to that. Our focus was what we were

encountered with in terms of hostage takers and the
hostages in the tower. And everybody that played a
role in the existing -- in this successful conclusion,
you would also have an opportunity to discuss the
issues that led up to the hostage situation with the

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

other law enforcement. I have not personally had that opportunity to any meaningful extent at all. It is certainly something that needs to occur, and I think will be part of the process, but I have not been part of that type of conversation with these gentlemen to this day.

MR. BEASLEY: However, we did have a debriefing when the Department of Corrections' investigators came in and basically debriefed the participating law enforcement as to how it starts up to the point where the tower was taken.

MR. CHURAY: Sir, with regard to the less than lethal defenses that were employed, it just did not seem to be much of a surprise to most of us in law enforcement that that was not effective. We see it all the time. It may work; it may not work. It depends on the individual, the individual's physical state to include chemical processes that are occurring in that person's body at the time. So I don't think that we spend a whole lot of time talking about that simply because it was not a surprise to any of us that the pepper spray did not work.

MR. LOCKSA: I can tell you one of the

24	responsibilities that you have as the training
25	division and we provide the training for our

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

63

1	sworn-in detention personnel during the training, a
2	process they are sprayed with various chemical agents,
3	including pepper spray. Some people, it will affect
4	them very greatly, and other people, it won't affect
5	them at all. It just depends on the individual person.
6	It is just like Ray says, there is no way to predict
7	how the spray may affect one person over the other
8	person.
9	MR. BURKE: Mr. Cohen?
10	MR. COHEN: Yes, Mr. Chairman?

Questions?

MR. BURKE:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COHEN: No. I think I would just like to say for the record, that based on the presentation today and the material that I reviewed already, the tactical operation practice group operated in a manner that is consistent with the best practices throughout the country, and I think, quite frankly, based on the provision we have seen, they should be commended for the way that they handled this situation, particularly in the area where operational tactical control was maintained at the scene of the incident, as opposed to external to the incident. So I think that in respect to activity from the media we have seen suggesting that tactical operational control rested elsewhere, I have not seen anything today or heard anything to date that

64

1	would suggest that that would be a valid concern.
2	MR. BURKE: Ms. Morrison?
3	MS. MORRISON: I'm fine.
4	MR. GUENTHER: I have a few more. When
5	after the incident was over and after we took the
6	inmates and secured them, those inmates were then
7	turned over to the Bureau of Prisons, right?
8	MR. HOOD: That is correct.
9	MR. GUENTHER: And it was evidently,
10	they were disrobed, stripped, searched and then sent
11	off to a DOC facility; is that correct?
12	MR. HOOD: That is correct.
13	MR. GUENTHER: Who did the strip search of
14	those inmates?
15	MR. HOOD: I was not down there for the
16	strip search. Again, that would be a joint strip
17	search involving the Bureau of Prisons' personnel,
18	which is pretty standard; if you are going to take
19	custody of someone, you are going to be involved in the
20	strip search of that individual. So that was the
21	instruction that it would be joint involving the Bureau
22	of Prisons' personnel. Specifically who did the search
23	itself, I'm not a hundred percent sure at this point.
24	MR. GUENTHER: But that information is
25	avai I abl e?

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

65

1 MR. HOOD: Yes, sir. We can find that out. Page 58

2	MR. GUENTHER: Okay. Thank you.
3	MR. BURKE: I have a few additional
4	questions in addition to Mr. Woods' question with
5	regard to not negotiate. I don't think you had an
6	opportunity to answer that from an FBI's perspective.
7	I was wondering if you can give us any thought on that.
8	MR. CHURAY: Slight, and I would echo
9	Colonel Beasley. Initially, there are two reasons for
10	negotiation. One is the possible quick release of any
11	hostage. The second is buying time. The negotiations
12	part is the first part that I think allows tactical to
13	buy time to prepare your SWAT team for tactical assets,
14	to make sure they are comfortable with the situation,
15	they've had enough time to do a survey and determine
16	how they can best try to remedy the situation and save
17	everyone's life.
18	So I'm not familiar with the Bureau of
19	Prisons' the Arizona Bureau of Prisons' policies
20	with a straight up, we will not negotiate with
21	prisoners who take hostages. But I think that you have
22	to look at every situation. And in this situation,
23	negotiations, in my perspective, was absolutely
24	necessary, if at least to give the tactical assets the
25	time to do an evaluation on how successful they could
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	(662) 201 220
	66
1	be in an immediate tactical situation.
2	MR. BURKE: From the FBI, did you just use
3	local negotiators?
4	MR. CHURAY: No. We initially when I Page 59

5	was contacted and asked if I could provide
6	negotiations, we used our local trained negotiators;
7	however, I believe it was approximately maybe day four
8	that we offered to bring in some of the people from the
9	office of the behavioral science unit who have had a
10	great deal of and two of those individuals were
11	brought in, as well as some of our hostage rescue team
12	personnel, to provide an evaluation and hopefully
13	assist in the tactical plan.
14	As time went on, those those assets that
15	we brought from our behavioral science unit came to me
16	and indicated that the negotiators, because of the
17	lengthy shifts that were occurring, needed more
18	support, and they identified people from other states.
19	We brought two people in from San Diego who had had
20	prison negotiation experience prior to this, and we
21	also brought an individual near the 13th day from
22	Alabama who had been at one of the major federal $\ensuremath{pri}\xspace$ son
23	negotiations, and he brought his expertise in. So we
24	were we were very amenable to bringing in whatever
25	resources were necessary.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	MR. BURKE: Colonel Beasley, I think during
2	your presentation you said the FBI was brought in on
3	the fourth day. How is that determination made at that
4	poi nt?
5	MR. BEASLEY: Chief Locksa and I had a
6	discussion. We were working our shifts extremely long,
7	and quite honestly, we needed to get people relief, and

8	so we looked at Valley law enforcement agencies and we
9	looked at FBI. Again, we work very closely with them.
10	The FBI readily agreed to provide support. They were
11	actually there earlier looking at the situation,
12	deciding what assets that they would need, and then on
13	day four, they actually deployed with us, and that's
14	when the two behavioral scientists from Quantico were
15	also on-site.
16	MR. BURKE: You mentioned in your
17	presentation, Colonel, an attempt to bring in a hostage
18	phone. Can you next explain, what is a hostage phone?
19	MR. BEASLEY: That is a method of
20	communication that we have that allows basically
21	secured communications between a negotiator and the
22	hostage taker separate and distinct from, you know,
23	radios that we use, separate and distinct from our
24	phone line. It's a direct system from the hostage
25	taker to the negotiators.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

MR. BURKE: I think, Colonel and Chief, you
made it abundantly clear your standing warning orders
and the opportunities or the lack of opportunity where $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$
two inmates were on-site for the tactical team to take $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right$
them out. My understanding is, though, that some
individual called up KTAR Last week during the Preston
Westmoreland show and honestly claimed he was a member
of the tactical team and that he or one of his comrades
or colleagues had an opportunity to take out the two
inmates but were told not to. Would you care to Page 61

11	comment on that?
12	MR. BEASLEY: I can comment from the time I
13	was there, that it is absolutely incorrect. We never
14	had the opportunity to tactically resolve it with both
15	inmates. I explained our use of force. Had we had
16	that opportunity, this situation would have been over.
17	MR. BURKE: Chief.
18	MR. LOCKSA: And I had absolute control
19	over the nighttime operations, and at no time did we
20	have that opportunity. Again, that option would have
21	been both inmates been positively identified and taken
22	out at the same time, and that was never there.
23	MR. BURKE: There was testimony last week
24	from a corrections officer at one of our field hearings
25	who was not part of the tactical team but claimed that
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	69
1	his friend had told him that throughout the night, you
2	could hear female screams from the tower. Is there any
3	comment on that?
4	MR. LOCKSA: I have never heard that
5	before.
6	MR. BEASLEY: We and I can't remember
7	the day, but our one of our intelligence observer
8	teams using a device was able to pick up what sounded
9	like screams, but again, very hard to determine what
10	exactly that was. It was the best I can recall,
11	that only occurred one time.
12	MR. BURKE: There is no possible way that
13	someone from the tactical team position could have Page 62

14	heard any screaming from the tower, though? Is that
15	physically possible?
16	MR. BEASLEY: No I don't know.
17	MR. LOCKSA: It might have been possible.
18	Some of the snipers were like, what, 40 yards?
19	MR. BEASLEY: 55, 60 yards.
20	MR. LOCKSA: So if somebody was screaming
21	real loud near the hatch or something, they could
22	possibly hear them, but as far as female screaming
23	throughout the night, I never heard that.
24	MR. BURKE: Yeah. But it would mean that
25	the tactical team would have had to hear that, and the
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	70
1	sounding devices that were placed there did not pick it
2	up, correct?
3	MR. HOOD: We didn't have intel we
4	didn't have audio intel coverage the entire 15-day
5	period. It was intermittent at first. We only
6	sustained I believe in the second week, we were able
7	to sustain through, so there may have been
8	opportunities in there where we didn't have audio
9	coverage the entire 15-day period, but again, as Chief
10	Locksa and the Colonel points out, it was approximately
11	60 yards from the tower and others were even further
12	out. So that you could actually audibly pick up, I
13	don't know.
14	MR. BURKE: Colonel, was there a time when
15	the robot was disengaged and unable to work?
16	MR. BEASLEY: Yes, early on. We operated Page 63

17	it with a fiber-optic cable, and early on, the cable
18	became tangled and snapped, so we had to do a tactical
19	retrieval of our robot, and we put a new cable on, and
20	it was back in service.
21	MR. BURKE: Was there a time when they
22	requested a helicopter?
23	MR. BEASLEY: Very, early early on in this
24	situation, and then that never came up again.
25	MR. BURKE: I assume that was denied?
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	71
1	MR. HOOD: It was just ignored.
2	MR. BEASLEY: It was just ignored, yeah.
3	It was moving on to the next subject.
4	MR. BURKE: Any other questions for this
5	panel?
6	MS. MORRISON: I just have a curiosity. Up
7	on your PowerPoint, you had said that the inmates were
8	denied speaking to their wives, yet other family
9	members were chosen to speak to them. Why not the
10	wi ves?
11	MR. BEASLEY: This is using a third-party
12	negotiator. This is not something we do lightly. We
13	do interviews. We do backgrounds to determine if, in
14	fact, a third-party negotiator is really going to add
15	value. In both of those cases, our interview teams
16	determined they would not add value and, in fact, may
17	exacerbate the situation, so we did not use them.
18	MR. BURKE: Do you have any other
19	questi ons?

for the panel's benefit, if you can take just a couple

MR. BRANHAM: Just a quick question. Maybe

20

22	of moments to answer and explain the level of selection
23	and training that goes into picking both your hostage
24	negotiators and your tactical personnel.
25	MR. BEASLEY: I'll speak for DPS, and
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	72
1	really I can speak for the command staff and the
2	supervisor command staff of the tactical negotiation
3	operations. You probably had close to 300 years of
4	experience deployed there. The average time for a DPS
5	tactical officer is probably five years, six years.
6	The majority of the officers that we have are 10 to 30
7	years with our special operations unit.
8	MR. LOCKSA: I can tell you that the
9	physical and the mental training and the stress that
10	they experience during their training is intense. Just
11	to be put into the tactical arena, they have to go
12	through the selection process that requires physical
13	and mental stress to the ultimate degree. We make them
14	as tired and exhausted as possible, and then we put
15	them to the test.
16	I can tell you that we have had
17	exercises and I'll give you an example. We have
18	actually had an exercise against the Navy Seal team,
19	and our SWAT team defeated them. So that's an example
20	of the level of the training that they get. I guess
21	that's the best example that I can give you.
22	MR. BEASLEY: You know, I might also point Page 65

	021904AM
23	out that we brought out three advisors three
24	advisors from the FBI hostage rescue team to look at
25	what our people put together, our tactics, and they
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
1	said we couldn't do it any better.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHURAY: Yeah. Their response to that review, there was a few things that they would possibly do, but they had access to some air assets that would assist them in doing that. And that's a team that all they do is they practice hostage rescue every day.

73

As far as our SWAT teams go, it is very difficult to become a SWAT team member. I'll give you an example. As an example, I think we'll have maybe 25 agents who are in excellent condition will apply to become a SWAT team member. It's a day's worth of rigorous testing, and maybe two or sometimes even none will make it to the SWAT team. It's sometimes five or six classes before we can find a few applicants that can make the test with our negotiators. It is probably about a two- to three-year training before they are actually authorized to come in and become a legal negotiator. And negotiators respond with the Phoenix Police Department and in other local police departments on a regular basis just to get the experience of the negotiations in different situations.

So I would agree with Colonel Beasley, again, and Chief, that it was probably 300 years of experience at any one time at the prison looking at negotiations and/or tactical solutions.

Page 66

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

74

1	MR. RIVELAND: It sounds like one of your
2	recommendations can be to improve the training of the
3	Navy Seals; is that correct?
4	MR. LOCKSA: I don't think I'll say that.
5	There may be some around.
6	MR. HOOD: Mr. Burke, if I may follow up on
7	something, follow up on one of Mr. Guenther's questions
8	regarding the strip search. If the issue and we'll
9	certainly find out who specifically conducted that
10	strip search and share the instruction that was given.
11	I personally had a conversation of all the inmates who
12	were being taken into custody with the warden of the
13	Federal Correctional Institute in Phoenix. He was
14	physically on-site with his staff to take custody of
15	that individual. I personally had a conversation with
16	him to tell him that we never obtained our second
17	handcuff key back. We discussed the physical
18	measures we have a device on-site at each of our
19	prisons. It's called a BOSS chair, acronym B-O-S-S,
20	Body Orifice Scanning System I believe is what that
21	stands for and it is intended to detect secreted or
22	metal devices that are in a body orifice.
23	Basically, it was decided because of the
24	physical location of that device, we would have to move
25	the inmates into a different area of the prison complex

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	to place them on that. In consult with the warden of
2	FCI, he said, "No problem. As soon as we get them on
3	FCI Phoenix, we will scan them and x-ray them."
4	So I personally briefed him about the
5	missing handcuff key before he left. It was decided
6	that they would take them to FCI Phoenix and do the
7	scan. He called me later that night to tell me that
8	they hadn't recovered the handcuff key and it was his
9	belief at that time I have not spoken with him
10	since that he thinks that the inmate might have
11	secreted it in a body orifice, and subsequently, it was
12	recovered, I believe, underneath one of the inmates
13	feet.
14	MR. GUENTHER: But standard, there would
15	that when you have an exchange of control on an inmate,
16	that the entity taking custody is the one that does the
17	necessary searching?
18	MR. HOOD: Yes, sir. In my experience,
19	that is exactly the approach.
20	MR. BURKE: Colonel, one final question.
21	Prior to this hearing, I believe you made commentary
22	that there might appear inaccuracy in the chron file
23	with regards to whether the two inmates were in a line $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left($
24	of sight at some point.
25	MR. BEASLEY: In one of the logs, there
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	3 7 7 (602) 261 2266

76

1 indicates a sniper saw subjects one and two. The only

time that this ever came up was that a DOC observer,

3	sniper observer team, indicated that they thought they
4	saw two people, the keyword there, and it made a
5	description. And, in fact, that was a
6	misidentification because they described the Inmate Coy
7	as shaving his mustache, and that in fact was not the
8	case.
9	MR. BURKE: Any other questions for this
10	panel?
11	I want to thank all four of you for the
12	tremendous effort you put into this and for your
13	organization and especially Maricopa County Sheriff's
14	Office and the FBI, since you are separate
15	jurisdictions, that stood up and participated fully in
16	this. We greatly appreciate your effort on behalf of
17	the State of Arizona.
18	(The hearing was at recess from 10:50 a.m.
19	to 11:07 a.m.)
20	MR. BURKE: We asked Warden Gaspar from the
21	Lewis facility to speak before the panel today. The
22	warden had already during the first day of hearings
23	of the panel, we had also had a tour of the Lewis
24	facility and the tower, which was conducted and
25	narrated by the warden, and we have asked him to return
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	77

today to provide his comments with regards to that,
also, some of the background with regards to the Lewis
facility and to be open to questions on the incident
that happened that morning to the warden.

I appreciate your presence here today.

6	021904AM WARDEN CASPAR: Thank you I don't have a
	WARDEN GASPAR: Thank you. I don't have a
7	whiteboard here today. May I tape off on the wall
8	here?
9	MR. BURKE: Absolutely.
10	WARDEN GASPAR: Good morning. My name is
11	Bill Gaspar, and I am the warden at Lewis, and I'm
12	going to give you a presentation of some information
13	regarding the Lewis complex. This particular display
14	here is of the Lewis complex with the top being in the
15	northerly direction and of course easterly this
16	direction, west this way.
17	There are six units at the Lewis complex.
18	The entire eastern side three units are dormitory-style
19	housing, and we house level two and level three in our
20	custody levels. That's medium and low custody.
21	The left side are all cellblock-style
22	housing and they are two-person cells, we house in the
23	Morey unit. We have our protective segregation, which
24	is multiple custody and I'll get into each of these
25	in a little more detail. Level-four, high custody unit
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	78
1	in a high custody unit that's currently housing low
2	custody, and I'll explain in terms of each unit's
3	specific information.
4	Stiner unit, which was the first to open in
5	1998, is a dormitory-style housing, currently houses
6	levels two and three. One-half of it is a level-two
7	facility; one-half is a level-three facility. It was
8	designed for 800 inmates. The current capacity after

9	O21904AM some additional double bunking is 904 inmates.
10	The second to open was the Morey unit,
11	January of '99. It is this unit here on the map, the
12	cellblock style. Currently, it houses our protective
13	segregation inmates and houses levels two, three and
14	four. It was designed for 800 inmates. It has been
15	expanded by 40, by taking one-half of the detention
16	unit that is in that facility and converting it to high
17	custody level-four housing, so it has 840 capacity.
18	The third to open was Barchley in September
19	of '99. Barchley is a level-three, medium custody
20	dormitory-style housing designed for 800 inmates. It
21	is our most significantly double bunked in our dorm
22	areas. It has 1,008, is its current capacity.
23	The next to open was Bachman in May of
24	2000. It's, again, a dormitory-style, level-two low
25	custody, currently houses about half of its population
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	79
1	as DUI inmates, the other half level-two low custody.
2	Designed for 600, currently houses 600.
3	The next was Buckley in April 2001.
4	Buckley is a level-four high/medium custody cellblock
5	housing designed for 800, current capacity 800.
6	The last to open was Rast, May of 2003. It
7	has got a capacity of 350. It's designed as a high
8	custody. It currently houses level-two low custody,
9	and its current capacity is still 350. You can see

that our overall design capacity, 4,150. Our current capacity, 4,502. The count as of yesterday evening is

10

12	4, 535.
13	Detention unit design capacity, we have
14	three detention units. One is found on the Bachman
15	unit designed for 80 and still has 80 beds. One is on
16	the Stiner unit designed for 80. We now have 79 beds
17	there. One of those cells was converted into a
18	special-use cell for inmates requiring any kind of
19	progressive behavioral control with restraint apparatus
20	for psychological reasons.
21	And the Morey detention unit is in the
22	Morey unit. It was designed for 80, and as I mentioned
23	earlier, this additional 40 came at the expense of 40
24	detention beds.
25	Specific information about these units,
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	80
1	again, very quickly, Bachman, level-two dormitory, half
2	DUI currently and a half low custody. Barchley,
3	Level-three medium custody, a thousand eight capacity;
4	rever-till ee liledruili custody, a thousand ergit capacity,
	Stiner, mixed level three here, level two here. That
5	
5 6	Stiner, mixed level three here, level two here. That
	Stiner, mixed level three here, level two here. That presents a few challenges, you have mixed custodies.
6	Stiner, mixed level three here, level two here. That presents a few challenges, you have mixed custodies. And in managing those custodies, you must keep them separate. So we have to manage so that we
6 7	Stiner, mixed level three here, level two here. That presents a few challenges, you have mixed custodies. And in managing those custodies, you must
6 7 8	Stiner, mixed level three here, level two here. That presents a few challenges, you have mixed custodies. And in managing those custodies, you must keep them separate. So we have to manage so that we have no crossover in any of the services; whether it be
6 7 8 9	Stiner, mixed level three here, level two here. That presents a few challenges, you have mixed custodies. And in managing those custodies, you must keep them separate. So we have to manage so that we have no crossover in any of the services; whether it be service or program, we keep the level-two inmates
6 7 8 9 10	Stiner, mixed level three here, level two here. That presents a few challenges, you have mixed custodies. And in managing those custodies, you must keep them separate. So we have to manage so that we have no crossover in any of the services; whether it be service or program, we keep the level-two inmates separate from the level-three inmates.
6 7 8 9 10 11	Stiner, mixed level three here, level two here. That presents a few challenges, you have mixed custodies. And in managing those custodies, you must keep them separate. So we have to manage so that we have no crossover in any of the services; whether it be service or program, we keep the level-two inmates separate from the level-three inmates. Morey perhaps is our most challenging of

segregation high custody inmates in the Eyman complex,
but otherwise, if they are at a level four, three or
two, they are housed at the Morey unit.

 So we have three custodies that we must manage separately, complicating the Morey management even more. It is a mixture of inmates who are victims, inmates who are predators, inmates who have been validated as security threat, group members who have subsequently debriefed, in a sense, disavowed their membership, and any activity related to prison gangs. And as our policy with our protective custody inmates

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

is to debrief inmates. To successfully debrief can reduce to custody to level four, and that level four setting is at the Morey unit, and today, we probably have 10 to 12 of those inmates in that unit.

We have numerous inmates in there who have significant numbers of inmates they have to be kept separate from, and it's a significant challenge that requires us, whether they be going to eat, going to an educational program, turning out for a work program, going to a medical provider line, that we have to be cognizant to who those inmates are on those programs and those lines and be sure that no two of them who can't be housed together have access to each other during that activity.

It is a very significant challenge. Essentially what it means to us and how we have attempted to organize this is, if you look at a

cellblock, it is an H-style configuration. One leg of that H houses 100 inmates; two 50-person pods. That leg of inmates have to be inmates that can mix so that when we turn them out for exercise or recreation or for a meal, that we can safely turn that group of 100 together without concern about them having some prior conflict that has caused us to not let them get with each other.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

What that means is that on each side of this prison, you have one leg of an H, a second one in each building, so there's two -- four groups here who have to be managed distinctly separate.

On the other side of Morey, you have the same thing; these two groups in each building, and then you have half of the detention unit as well. So now you have five groups that must be managed separate from each other. I share that with you because it is a challenge to the unit. For example, a meal turnout of large numbers of inmates has to be done on this side in at least five parts. So you turn out a group, and they have to be finished with their meal and put back away in cells so that you can't have any crossing of the groups.

Now, those five turnouts take an awful lot of time, and at the same time those are going on, you have four turnouts happening over here on this side, which also must be managed separately. So meals is an example. It takes more of the day than we would like

- them to take, but to do it safely, it must be done that
 way. I'll move on and get back to some of those
 challenges a little later.
- Buckley unit is 800 beds, and it is all

 level-four high/medium custody, and they have some

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

- don't-house-with-us issues as well, but those are separated by half a yard. Each of these facilities are split in half, so inmates who live in these two housing units can mix, as with the case of the other two, but we can't mix from side to side of that unit.
- The Rast unit opened just in May of 2003, and it was opened -- it was sort of opened because we had a need for beds. Obviously, we are short of beds in our system.

And we have been challenged with staffing with a high number of vacancies at the Lewis prison complex. We decided to open Rast -- this is level two, which requires fewer officers to supervise at the level-two low custody inmate than a level-four inmate. So we utilized the existing facility to take a little of the pressure off of level two and activated this in May of 2003 with fewer staff than you would have, again, as a level four and also used those inmates to help finish out some of the final fence work and so forth that would need to be done at this facility before it would be opened as a level four.

I want to talk a little bit about some of the operational challenges at the prison. One of

24	those, I	have alread	ly covered	slightly,	managi ng
25	multiple	custodi es,	and it is	speci fi cal	ly a challenge,

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

84

1 as I said, Stiner, of the two custodies. It is a 2 challenge at Buckley to keep separate; that we have to 3 do from side to side. It is a challenge at Morey. 4 I have already described in some detail, so many people even within custodies -- for example, all the level 5 6 twos that live in Morey can't be housed together. 7 of the other level twos have to be kept separate from other level twos, as in the case of every other 8 9 custody. 10 A significant challenge at the Lewis prison 11 is that we at this complex are a court or a prison. 12 There are four courts or prisons: Lewis, Tucson, 13 Florence and Eyman. They are all large prison 14 complexes. The corridor status is such that you accept 15 and house inmates who have high mental health needs and high medical needs. 16 At the Lewis complex, for example, as we 17 stand here, sit here today, we have about 900 inmates 18 19 who are mental health three score, which is a high 20 score on our mental health scale, meaning --21 representing generally that they are on some type of 22 medication, that some of it is a watch-swallowed daily 23 Some of it is what we refer to as a keep-on 24 person where they receive a week's worth of medication

at a time that they can carry on their person.

I	inis challenge is one that is difficult, in
2	that we have had difficulties at the prison attracting
3	and retaining mental health professionals. We have a
4	high number of needs and insufficient resources to
5	provide the service that is really necessary. Having a
6	full-time psychiatrist there to do the prescription
7	medications has been a challenge. We haven't had a
8	full-time psychiatrist there for some time. So that's
9	a significant challenge.
10	Now, managing mental health inmates is a
11	challenge in another way. The staff at the Lewis
12	complex is relatively junior, given the newness of the
13	complex. We see that the Stiner unit was the first to
14	open in '98 and the last to open was Rast in 2003.
15	Today, we have approximately 200
16	correctional officer vacancies out of a thousand and 29
17	positions. Of the 800-plus positions that are filled
18	today, 50 percent of those officers have two years or
19	less of service, and that's inclusive of their seven
20	weeks at the correctional academy.
21	So you can see the challenge that we face
22	with officers who have relatively short experience, and
23	I offer it here because the management of mental health
24	inmates requires people with some skill and some
25	understanding, and frankly, we don't offer a whole lot

86

1

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

2	them, but they are not trained mental health
3	professionals by any stretch of the imagination, nor
4	are they intended to be.
5	The other thing that we have as a corrid

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lor status is medical, high medical needs. I will be at the Lewis complex two years next month. Our staffing situation right now is the best it's been in the two years I have been there. For most of the two-year period, our vacancies in correctional officers was such that if every employee body reported to work as scheduled every day without fail for illness, any personal leave, we would not have sufficient officers to reach our minimum staffing requirement. scenario, they all show up, we can't meet the minimum staffing requirement. Today, we can. Today, we are slightly over the bubble, and we have only gotten there in the past couple of months to where we are at a point to where if everyone does come to work, that we do have enough to meet the minimal level.

Now, meeting the minimum level is not a desirable position to be in. It's the least that we can operate with, but obviously, it's not desirable when you have significant pressure on your resources, medical.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	Not a day passes at Lewis where you don't
2	have one or more unscheduled medical runs to a local
3	hospital. There are days when you have inmates in two
4	or three hospitals around the city. That means two
	Page 78

5	inmates that aren't on your post chart that you didn't
6	schedule for, you couldn't anticipate, even though we
7	attempt to anticipate beyond our needs. That's two
8	around the clock, 24 hours, in every location where we
9	do not have a secure setting. The only secure setting
10	we have is the Maricopa County Hospital, and we use it.
11	We use it whenever we can. But sometimes the needs of
12	the the medical need requires they be placed in a
13	different hospital. So this becomes a significant
14	drai n.
15	On the extreme side, the most extreme side,
16	there have been occasions where we have had so many
17	inmates in hospitals, and staff in those hospitals was,
18	in essence, equal to the management of the management
19	needs of a small unit that we have to then accommodate
20	and plan for, and you plan for that obviously with
21	overtime for your correctional officers.
22	But being a corridor status has a couple of
23	very significant impacts on this prison: the mental
24	health needs; the management of those inmates by the
25	staff, relatively junior staff; the medical needs and
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	88
1	that is the medical need of so many trips to the

8

2 hospital, so many man-hours outside of the prison 3 devoted to relatively few inmates. 4 Staff retention. Years ago, a bonus was 5 offered, the \$5100 bonus was offered and Lewis got well. And by "well," I mean it became a point to where 6 all the positions were filled. And I was at Perryville Page 797

8	at the time, and I remember visiting the academy at
9	Tucson to talk to cadets who were about to graduate
10	about coming to work at Lewis I mean, coming to work
11	at Perryville because Lewis positions were filled.
12	Now, as those people who signed a two-year
13	agreement two-year commitment to Lewis with a bonus,
14	as the two years rolled near, they began to disappear,
15	and ultimately, we were back where we started nearly.
16	We were at a 35-plus percent vacancy when I got there,
17	and it didn't get well for quite some time. It is
18	still not well, but it's much better.
19	So we reimplemented the bonus, and again,
20	we have picked up our recruitment. We have since we
21	are offering the \$100 per paycheck to sustain that so
22	we don't have the same experience of having these
23	attracted, when the two years is over, they depart us
24	for greener pastures and more money.
25	So as I said earlier, our junior status
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	89
1	nature is still significant, 50 percent less than two
2	years of experience, and that means when you take a
3	unit shift, and assuming you have 20 people there that
4	you need for that shift, you can anticipate that more
5	than half of those are going to be people that have
6	less than two years' experience, and oftentimes, it's
7	even a little more than that; it's closer to
8	two-thirds.
9	The impact on the promotional process is

11	Leadership. They need seasoned Leadership. Lewis
12	being somewhat remote, there isn't there isn't a lot
13	of interest from other locations for people to promote
14	to Lewis. Lewis, as well as everyone else who is
15	eligible, may compete. People who are successful in
16	that process then get to identify places they will go
17	to work. Most of them want to go to work where they
18	are now and not uproot families and not move and so
19	forth. That often leaves us with people at Lewis
20	willing to promote to Lewis and not many others from
21	around the state that would come to us and perhaps
22	bring us some more experience.
23	As an example, an officer with two years of
24	experience is eligible to compete for sergeant. When
25	we promote for sergeants at Lewis, the largest pool we

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	promote are those junior officers who meet the minimum
2	requirement because the others who have competed and
3	perhaps did better on the competition and were higher
4	on the promotional list opt to stay where they are.
5	And we eventually get to the point where we look down
6	at who is willing to come to Lewis because of the
7	distance of commute or relocation, and it becomes some
8	of those who are already there. The point being that
9	junior staff are now being led by other junior staff
10	who have simply promoted into a responsibility for a
11	supervisor, and that's that requires an awful lot of
12	our attention, and the result is, the leadership
13	doesn't have a strong experience base. Page 81

14	That pretty much concludes, I think, the
15	issues, unless you have some specific questions to
16	these units. If you do, I'd be happy to answer them.
17	MR. BURKE: Grant?
18	MR. WOODS: No.
19	MR. GUENTHER: Let me just ask a relative
20	question which came up after the fact. At the last
21	time we had this briefing or similar briefing, as far
22	as the tower at Morey is concerned, what is the written
23	policy of access, ingress and egress?
24	WARDEN GASPAR: Well, to all the towers,
25	you have to identify the person who is coming in. You
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	91
1	should have a hundred percent identification of who is
2	coming in to that tower before you allow them in. Now,
3	it has since changed. We have modified our procedure
4	to tighten it even more, to make it more restrictive.
5	But at the time, it is the officer has to positively
6	identify the person who is attempting access before
7	they allow access.
8	MR. GUENTHER: And as far as as far as
9	operation of the lock system, is there a written policy
10	concerning the different ramifications to the
11	sallyport, the bottom level door, the stairwell door
12	and/or the access to the number of doors?
13	WARDEN GASPAR: Yes. At the time of the
14	incident, there was not specific language about the
15	accessing of the stairwell door. It has since been
16	added in great detail, but essentially what happens is Page 82

17	that an employee in the middle tower who needs to go to
18	the first ground level, either to identify someone to
19	come in or to use the facilities, has to place that
20	door on access. They hit a button that allows them to
21	go down to the door, down the stairway, hit the button
22	so that they can get entrance into the area they need
23	to be in and lock it behind them. And on the panel at
24	the bottom floor, they can then put it back on the
25	get-off access so it is now secure.

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

92

1 But there continues to be a need in some 2 units, our low custody units. The tower is staffed by 3 one person, so any movement of that nature, they have 4 to put it on access solely for the purpose of going down and entering the area and taking it off access. 5 At the time of this incident, that was the 6 7 direction, that it wasn't specifically written about 8 the access, not having it on access. On access means 9 someone could approach it, push the button, and that 10 pops the lock as opposed to push the button, which 11 calls the officer who then accesses the lock. 12 MR. GUENTHER: With -- relative to the 13 attempts to incapacitate inmates during struggles, 14 obviously all officers on all units carry some kind of 15 a pepper spray. 16 WARDEN GASPAR: A chemical agent, yes. Al I 17 carry it. 18 MR. GUENTHER: And is it all the same --19 WARDEN GASPAR: Yes. Page 83

20	MR. GUENTHER: or do different officers
21	use different kinds?
22	WARDEN GASPAR: Yes, they all use the same.
23	MR. GUENTHER: Have you ever had I mean,
24	basically that's their only protection that they have
25	in order to subdue or help to subdue an inmate?
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	93
1	WARDEN GASPAR: That's correct.
2	MR. GUENTHER: Has it been effective? I
3	understand that it affects some people different than
4	other people.
5	WARDEN GASPAR: It is generally effective,
6	and there are still inmates who are hit with that spray
7	who sustain a little struggle and a little lasting
8	power more than some others, but generally, it is
9	effective. It doesn't bring someone to their knees
10	immediately. If they are engaged in a struggle of
11	sorts, they can continue that for a bit before they are
12	overcome with it.
13	MR. GUENTHER: What is the effective range
14	of that canister, I guess?
15	WARDEN GASPAR: I could get you from here,
16	probably. I wouldn't use it much farther than that.
17	I'd like to be close enough to make sure I can hit you
18	right on target with the full blast.
19	MR. GUENTHER: Do you have any indication
20	as to why either it was not effective on inmate Coy, or
21	was it used too far away? Is there any indication on
22	why he was able to withstand that spray. Page 84

23	WARDEN GASPAR: I have no specific								
24	information, but it may have been, where did the spray								
25	hit him? You know, you want to target the head. It								
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230								
	94								
1	can be sprayed you know, if someone was sprayed in								
2	this room and you weren't in the immediate area, you								
3	would be able to exist until it sort of permeates the								
4	air, and then, again, you begin with your burning eyes								
5	and so forth. But Coy could have been hit with this,								
6	could have been somewhat impaired, but when he was able								
7	to get to the tower, then he would have access to water								
8	in order to try to help himself in clearing the eyes or								
9	whatever.								
10	MR. GUENTHER: And related to that, there								
11	seems to be an indication that a couple of officers								
12	responding to the IMS that was issued from the dining								
13	hall, that they happened to be right at the corner of								
14	the tower at one point in time, and it happened to be								
15	the point in time when Inmate Wassenaar was firing the								
16	AR-15 through the A gate at the base of the tower and								
17	that they tried to use this spray on Wassenaar and were								
18	unsuccessful. Do you recall anything about that?								
19	WARDEN GASPAR: It's my understanding that								
20	if you for logistical purposes, we call one side of								
21	the yard the blue side and one the red side.								
22	Wassenaar, when he came out of the kitchen to come to								
23	the tower, he was on the red side, and that's where he								
24	entered the tower from. Coy come out on the blue side.								
25	The spray you are referring to, it's my Page 85								

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

95

1	understanding that we had officers who responded from
2	the red side and the activity was near the blue side,
3	and the attempt was made to spray from that red side
4	carefully because they were trying to have cover of the
5	corner of the building, and it would have been the
6	distance of those fence lines, roughly. So, you know,
7	that's several yards, and I suspect that, one, they may
8	not have been totally accurate because they were trying
9	to be careful from a guy with a weapon, and at the same
10	time, trying to spray, and so I suspect that we didn't
11	have a good target given the nature of the concern
12	there. An effort was made, but it didn't impact.
13	MR. GUENTHER: And as warden, you are still
14	basically satisfied that the spray that your officers
15	are using is effective?
16	WARDEN GASPAR: Yes, it is. I mean, it has
17	been effective, and I think it will continue to be
18	effective. We use it most frequently to break up
19	fights. And sometimes it has to be the second spray
20	before they finally stop their scuffle.
21	MR. GUENTHER: Okay. There has been
22	considerable testimony about the staffing of the towers
23	and whether or not it is common practice to put two
24	inexperienced people on the same shift in the tower.
25	What is your sense on that?

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	WARDEN GASPAR: The assignment of the post								
2	is the responsibility of the shift supervisors. And								
3	that's a sergeant and/or lieutenant, and they have to								
4	look at the resources available to them								
5	experience/inexperience, competencies; regardless of								
6	experience, who's qualified with the weapon and make								
7	those assignments to a variety of posts.								
8	In this case, I think 18 or 19 posts that								
9	evening. And so the decision was made to place two								
10	officers, one who was new as of June 30th, the other								
11	was hired on June 30th, but he had past experience, a								
12	couple of years, and was a reinstate, which we don't								
13	treat as a brand-new employee because they have some								
14	training and experience. So that evening, as an								
15	example, of the 20 people on shift, 14 of them had been								
16	hired in '03. Of the 2 in the tower, 8 of that 14 were								
17	more junior than they are. The six who had experience								
18	beyond '03 were placed in very key responsibilities								
19	around the yard: our main control, the detention unit								
20	control, the lead-yard officers who are responders to								
21	all kinds of crises during a shift.								
22	In looking at it, it would be hard to be								
23	critical of how they chose to assign, given what was								
24	there, and that's fairly typical of a shift; that you								
25	are going to have a high level of junior staff and								
	ODLESIA AND AGGGGLATEG ((00) 0/4 0000								

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

97

relatively few more senior staff, and you have to look at their competencies, as well as their experience.

3	O21904AM MR. GUENTHER: How Long has it been a								
4	common practice to only assign one uniformed officer to								
5	the kitchen?								
6	WARDEN GASPAR: For as long as I can								
7	recall. We have a sergeant that's also assigned, but								
8	the sergeant comes into work a little later than this								
9	incident began and is responsible for sort of an								
10	organizational management of the kitchen security. So								
11	had it been 7:00 o'clock in the morning, we would have								
12	likely had a sergeant on-site along with the								
13	correctional officer, but the one correctional officer								
14	in the kitchen is the standard staffing.								
15	MR. GUENTHER: Okay. But the shift								
16	supervisor has a lot of responsibility in determining								
17	how well the kitchens operated or how the tower is								
18	operated?								
19	WARDEN GASPAR: Yes.								
20	MR. GUENTHER: There is quite a bit of								
21	discretion then?								
22	WARDEN GASPAR: Well, the shift supervisor								
23	is responsible for ensuring that our procedures are								
24	followed and also for ensuring that the staff assigned								
25	understand their responsibilities.								
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230								
	98								
1	MR. GUENTHER: Okay. That's all I have								
2	right now.								
3	MR. RIVELAND: It seems in reading the								
4	criminal investigation, there was some statements, if I								
5	recall correctly, that the inmates had radios in the								

$$\operatorname{\textsc{O21904AM}}$$ tower that they did intercept some conversation

6

7

8

7	particularly early on; is that correct? Do you recall?									
8	WARDEN GASPAR: I'm not sure I understand									
9	your question.									
10	MR. RIVELAND: During at least the first									
11	couple of days, the inmates had radios that they had									
12	confiscated from the officers when they were there and									
13	that there was radio traffic going on particularly									
14	early in the morning that they then were able to									
15	intercept or listen and monitor.									
16	WARDEN GASPAR: The inmates had access to a									
17	radio, hand-held radio throughout the duration of this									
18	incident, and when they initial in the initial									
19	hours of this incident, they had access to a radio, and									
20	they could be listening to any radio traffic on that									
21	unit by simply being on the right channel, which it									
22	would have already been on because it was for that									
23	unit. So they could hear radio traffic in the first									
24	minutes and hours following. But we soon stopped using									
25	that channel on that yard as soon as we got together,									
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230									
	99									
1	organized. When we responded and developed some of our									
2	initial strategies was to take our communications off									
3	that channel, and so that channel began to be used, you									
4	know, by the negotiator when they couldn't reach the									
5	hostage takers on telephone.									
6	MR. RIVELAND: Are you acquainted with									

Page 89

WARDEN GASPAR: Somewhat.

Endow (phonetic) technology?

Ω219Ω4ΔΜ

9	MR. RIVELAND: Am I correct that your staff								
10	did not have that available to you?								
11	WARDEN GASPAR: We did not have that								
12	avai I abl e.								
13	MR. RIVELAND: Is that something that you								
14	think would have been valuable during that time?								
15	WARDEN GASPAR: Yes. I think it would have								
16	been had we had it. We would have been aware earlier								
17	on that we had a problem in the kitchen and may have								
18	been able to respond to that problem and avert the								
19	entire tower situation.								
20	MR. RIVELAND: You were talking your								
21	reextension and recruitment problems, and that's								
22	really I mean, anywhere from a 10 percent is								
23	normally considered an extreme situation in terms of								
24	staff vacancies, and when you have 20, 25 and 30								
25	percent, that's really alarming. Does that result in a								
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230								
	100								
1	great deal of overtime in order to meet minimal								
2	staffi ng?								
3	WARDEN GASPAR: Definitely a great deal of								
4	overtime.								
5	MR. RIVELAND: So if I understand correctly								
6	from some of the testimony we heard from some of the								
7	staff the other day is many have to drive a hundred								
8	miles to work each day, then may be doing extra time on								
9	the job at the same time.								
10	WARDEN GASPAR: That's correct.								
11	MR. RIVELAND: And that commuting, that's a								
	Page 90								

12	very tiring kind of scenario for the staff.							
13	WARDEN GASPAR: And because of that, we							
14	restrict how many extra hours per week they can work,							
15	and that's been in place in the agency for several							
16	years to restrict that because of the fatigue factor							
17	and officer's safety, not just on the job, but on the							
18	ride to and from.							
19	MR. RIVELAND: Can you tell me you							
20	talked about the minimum requirements to sergeant. If							
21	I were a correctional officer, how much time would I							
22	need as a CO in order to be eligible?							
23	WARDEN GASPAR: Two years.							
24	MR. RIVELAND: Two years. So I could have							
25	a two-year-and-one-month sergeant supervising a							
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230							
1	six-month CO							
2	WARDEN GASPAR: Yes.							
3	MR. RIVELAND: is the way it sounds to							
4	me.							
5	WARDEN GASPAR: We do have that. That's							
6	fairly common.							
7	MR. RIVELAND: And if I heard you							
8	correctly, you talked about the bonuses and that they							
9	were effective in the short-term but not in the							
10	long-term. What is the solution for that? Is it just							
11	simply to higher regular pay that would have greater							
12	impact on the long-term?							
13	WARDEN GASPAR: Yes, that's exactly it.							

What happens to us is that our officers are paid

15	significantly less than the Maricopa County and Pima
16	County, which are the primary areas where we get our
17	employees from in the state, and we train them. We
18	give them experience. They see an opportunity to leave
19	for more pay, and the counties they go to often see
20	them as somebody that's great, that's experienced,
21	that's someone who has already been trained, and that's
22	a resource for them.
23	What has to happen is we have to be the
24	ones that those county people want to come to as a
25	state agency. We need to have that status that we have

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	never had since I have been with the Department of
2	Corrections now since '74. We have never had that
3	status. It has always been a struggle. We train; we
4	lose. So we need to be the king in the salary scale
5	so that we are the attractive one to come to, and then
6	we have some selection. We can choose from people,
7	rather than we recruit and essentially to pass minimum
8	standards on our evaluations and you get in. We could
9	be a little more selective, perhaps, but we need to
10	have that status, and it's a huge difference. That's
11	the thing that would solve, not just for Lewis, those
12	other locations in the state that are struggling with
13	staffing, many locations in the state. And to be
14	number one when it comes to salary would resolve that.
15	MR. RIVELAND: And probably diminish
16	overtime cost at the same time.
17	WARDEN GASPAR: Oh. It would be nearly

- 18 eliminated. It would be rare.

 19 MR. RIVELAND: Thank you.

 20 MR. BURKE: Ms. Morrison?

 21 MS. MORRISON: Is there a reason there were

 22 no cameras in the kitchen?

 23 WARDEN GASPAR: There just have not been
- 23 WARDEN GASPAR: There just have not been 24 any put in. There was none in the original plan so 25 there were none there. In the design, it was not

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

103

1 included. I can tell you that we are doing camera 2 You know, the kitchen is one location, and I think it needs to be understood that there are lots of 3 4 locations where something like this could occur; that 5 is, you can go into any classroom at that prison and you will have 15 to 25 inmates sitting there with a 6 7 teacher and an occasional check, an outwardly check by 8 a correctional officer.

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- So the kitchen is not the only place where you have inmates in the number that we would have there with someone who is responsible for oversight who has a radio and a telephone available to them. So we have numerous locations where the supervision, the staff-to-inmate ratio is problematic if you have acting out by inmates, because that's where they can overpower the staff relatively quickly.
- MS. MORRISON: Last week we heard some testimony about the radios malfunctioning, not working, discharging while the officers were on post. Can you comment on that?

21	WARDEN GASPAR: I would characterize that
22	as a unit issue. There are enough radios and batteries
23	available, that if they are properly exchanged and
24	charged, to meet the needs of every employee that comes
25	on the unit to carry a radio. We have experimented

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	with a battery in the last year and a half that is a							
2	longer-life battery. It's more expensive, and in a							
3	couple of units we are testing those. But it's							
4	something that gives us a little more staying power;							
5	otherwise, when you are on an eight-hour shift, if I							
6	take your radio on shift and it's not fresh, the							
7	battery is not fresh, I may have a problem during that							
8	shift with the use of that radio and have to exchange							
9	the battery during shift. Proper use and proper							
10	charging of them, though, will generally resolve that							
11	problem.							
12	MS. MORRISON: I believe with the testimony							
13	last week that with these new batteries, sometimes the							
14	battery will discharge in the middle of a shift and the							
15	officer wasn't aware of it and would have a battery							
16	that was not working and a radio that was not working							
17	and wouldn't know it. Is that possible?							
18	WARDEN GASPAR: I wouldn't call it							
19	impossible, but I can't imagine you not knowing that							
20	your battery is not working, because you are using your							
21	radios with great frequency, and, you know, it gives							
22	you a warning as you are losing charge. You have a							
23	little audible that your battery is weakening and it							

24	is	time	to	get	а	fresh	battery.
----	----	------	----	-----	---	-------	----------

25 MS. MORRISON: Also, last week we also

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

heard some information and read some in the draft of police reports that some of your officers didn't know whether or not this was an IMS, simulated IMS, or whether it was actually real.

WARDEN GASPAR: We test our officers in various locations around the unit to make sure that they are adhering to our procedures. And those tests are an attempt to test their following procedures. We attempt to leave through our sallyports without providing identification, and they pass or they fail. We test various locations, and we do that to try to stay sharp and keep people vigilant on what their duties are.

Now, I have heard in this case where one of the officers thought that all of this might be a test. If that had been an original thought, that is a thought at the time it began. Then the response would have been we need to pass this test so we need to make the proper check. And the purpose of those tests are to keep them vigilant, and we do those with some frequency in various locations to ensure that people understand and follow. And if we have a failure, then it's a corrective action; you're training. You are describing what needs to happen and why. So it is -- it is to help some people, and, in particular, junior staff,

106

1	stay sharp and understand the importance. Success over
2	a period of time can bring complacency if we are not
3	careful, so we try not to let them become complacent.
4	MS. MORRISON: Thank you.
5	MR. BURKE: Mr. Cohen?
6	MR. COHEN: I don't have any questions.
7	MR. BURKE: Warden, I have a few questions.
8	One is that I think what people are having a hard time
9	grasping maybe you can walk us through this. I
10	believe you sort of touched upon this already, but is
11	that Coy specifically is a sexual predator, and he was
12	authorized to work with a nonuniformed female staff in
13	a kitchen with one officer. And I understand your
14	staff shortages, but could you give some commentary on
15	how a situation develops where someone like Coy is
16	allowed to be in that kind of situation with a
17	nonuni formed female staff?
18	WARDEN GASPAR: I'll try. We have
19	inmates we have many locations in our units where
20	inmates are either at work or participate in
21	programming. In this particular unit, the challenge is
22	a little more significant. That is, that every inmate
23	that's working in that kitchen has to be cleared to be
24	able to be with each other. So we have inmates coming
25	from various locations in this kitchen because, number

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

107

2	threat to somebody that they've had a problem with.
3	Number two, we look at our job assignments.
4	There are there are attempts made to make sure that
5	you are employing people who are either most qualified
6	or most deserving based upon custody. On this half of
7	the prison here and most of this half, you have got
8	inmates that are level three and level four. He was a
9	level-three inmate, and so the level-three inmates are
10	cleared to work in this kitchen; just as in this
11	prison, they are all level fours. So the entire
12	kitchen is staffed by inmates who are level fours.
13	We have not we don't have written
14	directive written direction that stipulates that
15	inmates with specific crimes on the inside of the
16	prison are prohibited from certain work assignments.
17	We don't have that written direction that says if you
18	are a sex offender that you can't be employed in a
19	particular area.
20	MR. BURKE: Can I ask you if we should.
21	WARDEN GASPAR: We have to be careful not
22	to paint ourself into such a box that we cause
23	ourselves to not have the resources available that we
24	need. I can tell you certainly in response to this, I
25	have directed all of the unit administrators to take a
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	108
1	look at who they have working in that location on that
2	shift that starts so early in the morning and to
3	identify people who have an assault history and review
4	them very carefully and remove them, unless there is an Page 97

5	awful high level of confidence at the time and these
6	histories was so far in history that they don't believe
7	we have an immediate threat.
8	So in response to this, I have asked them
9	to take a look at all of these early morning turn-out
10	assignments, early morning, because, you know, it's the
11	time that we are still on our graveyard shift. That's
12	where our numbers are the slimmest in terms of post
13	that we have coverage for. So just as a strategy to
14	try to avoid being faced with a similar dilemma, that
15	direction has been provided, but it is not a written
16	direction at the agency level at this point.
17	MR. BURKE: Wassenaar at one point had use
18	of an electric razor. Do you have any information at
19	this point as to how he got ahold of that?
20	WARDEN GASPAR: I do not.
21	MR. BURKE: With regards to the tower, the
22	prescription drugs for the unit were kept in the tower.
23	Now, after this incident and what occurred, is that
24	a continued to be a container for the
25	WARDEN GASPAR: Absolutely not. That place
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	109
1	is very stark. There's only the tower function that
2	comes out of the tower. Now, I can give you a little
3	background to the prescription drugs. This prison was
4	master planned, and on no unit except Rast, which was
5	originally conceived to be a minors unit where this
6	group was going to have to be kept separate from all of
7	the adults Rast has a medical facility on-site. Page 98

8	None of the others do. So there is no unit location
9	for health-related functions.
10	This building here in the middle and what
11	is represented as a green area but if you have been
12	to Lewis, you know there is not much green out there.
13	This large building here is a complex centralized
14	medical facility. In theory, this was going to be that
15	inmates requiring services were all to be brought to
16	that location. In practice, it didn't last long until
17	it was realized that that was not even the slightest
18	bit feasible.
19	So what we have done, and in the nearly two
20	years I have been there, we have gone onto the units
21	and you see these rectangular buildings on each unit?
22	Those are industry buildings, and we have taken a piece
23	of that building and created a satellite health area to
24	try to have some health services on the unit so that
25	inmates who have any need to see a nurse or a doctor
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	110
1	don't have to be taken to a central facility, which is
2	very cumbersome, and you couldn't meet the needs of the
3	inmates in a timely fashion.
4	But because we have no facility on the unit
5	and no central facility within the unit, the tower
6	became a place to distribute medications, because from
7	that one point, you can distribute to each side of the
8	yard. It is evolution I wasn't there for, but I

understand having been there why it was selected,

because from one point, you could meet the needs of the Page $99\,$

9

11	entire group. That's how it happened. It no longer
12	exi sts.
13	Now, we are doing two things. On the red
14	side of the yard, which is the side where the industry
15	building is where we have the satellite area now for
16	health, the inmates who live on that side are going to
17	that unit, that building, to receive medications. On
18	the blue side of the yard, there is no facility. We
19	have identified a location within the dining room of
20	the blue side. We have a secure observation point in
21	that room where an officer during a meal turnout is
22	secure, observes, and can respond or initiate an
23	emergency response if something happens, a breakup of
24	sorts.
25	We use that very location now for the blue
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	11

1	side. We bring inmates in there a half a building at a
2	time, or whatever the small number can be, and the
3	pills are passed there for watch-swallow medications at
4	that blue side throughout our unit. So that's how we
5	have avoided using the tower. So now we go to multiple
6	locations. A little bit more cumbersome, but the next
7	step that we've already initiated is a pilot for
8	separating the keep-on person medications from the
9	watch-swallows.
10	So we are working to do those separately so
11	that the inmates who go to the satellite or to that
12	dining area are fewer, because that's just the
13	watch-swallows, and it's more efficient that way. We Page 100

14	nave to be careful because the location we are using,
15	being the dining facility, we have to fit it in our
16	schedule so that it doesn't interfere with the feeding
17	times, which are quite long hours of each day, three
18	different feeding times. Nothing else, by the way, is
19	in that tower. We have had some other things stored in
20	the tower, and it's
21	MR. BURKE: Cleared.
22	WARDEN GASPAR: it's cleared.
23	MR. BURKE: And Warden, how long have you
24	been in corrections?
25	WARDEN GASPAR: Since September of 1974.
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
1	MR. BURKE: Have you ever seen a situation
2	or a setup like the Morey unit with regards to its
3	custody levels? Because from your narrative today, the
4	way I hear it is, this is just an administrative for
5	you, an administrative mess what you have to go
6	through, and that it would lead to situations like the
7	situation we now have, which is a difficulty in
8	providing security and management there.
9	WARDEN GASPAR: In my experience here or in
10	the agency, this represents perhaps the most
11	challenging unit that I have seen. I haven't seen them
12	all and I haven't worked in them all; I have been in
	arr and r naven t worked rif them arr, I have been rif
13	several different complexes, but it is because of the

sophistication of inmates and the junior status of

15

16

staff.

17	There are approximately 100 inmates in the
18	Morey unit alone serving a life sentence. And many of
19	them have been in prison for a long time, lots of
20	years. And they understand the system. They are
21	skillful in their ways in the prison. They are they
22	are manipulators. They are litigators. So, you know,
23	it's a tough it's a tough population to manage with
24	junior experience. It would be a tough population, a
25	challenge, with senior experience, as well, because

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230

1	they are a difficult population, and having so many
2	custodies in one location is a greater challenge.
3	When I'm keeping my fingers crossed to
4	this and I think it is going to occur; Kingman opens
5	soon, June, I believe. We hope. We believe that we
6	will be able to move the DUI's out of Bachman. That
7	will give us relief to move Rast Level two into
8	level-two facilities and use Rast, which is built as
9	high custody, for the level fours that are now at
10	Morey. That will take some of that mixed pressure off
11	of Morey and share it, you know, with Rast so we will
12	have this will be a pure high custody. This will be
13	low and medium custody. This will be an improvement,
14	and we are looking for that happening in the next few
15	months.
16	MR. BURKE: Any other questions for the
17	warden?
18	Warden, thank you very much for your
19	presentation. Do you have a for the record, do you Page 102

20	have a display that you have on the wall here for the
21	hearing in a form that we could include in the record?
22	WARDEN GASPAR: If I may have some time, I
23	can put it into a form and get it to you.
24	MR. BURKE: Sure. Absolutely.
25	MR. BURKE: We are going to break and
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	114
1	reconvene at 2:00 o'clock at the Department of
2	Corrections where we'll hear from two correctional
3	officers who were involved in the incident on the 18th
4	of January. One of them is the female who was taken
5	hostage. That portion of the testimony will take place
6	in the Department of Corrections' administration
7	building, 1601 West Jefferson. You enter from the back
8	of the building. The media will be able to take an
9	audio feed only outside the fourth floor conference
10	room at the Department of Corrections. It is set up
11	and designed that way with the request of those
12	witnesses, and we are abiding by that. So that will
13	begin at 2:00 p.m. with the Department of Corrections.
14	Thank you.
15	(The hearing concluded at 12:10 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

23	
24	
25	
	GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230
	(602) 261 2266
	115
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	CERTIFICATE
8	
9	I HEREBY CERTIFY that the proceedings had upon the
10	foregoing hearing are contained in the shorthand record
11	made by me thereof, and that the foregoing 114 pages
12	constitute a full, true, and correct transcript of said
13	shorthand record; all done to the best of my skill and
14	ability.
15	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona this 19th day of
16	February, 2004.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Marcella L. Daughtry, RPR Certified Court Reporter #50623
24	12. 11. 12. 1 . 13p2. 101 #00020
25	

Page 104

GRIFFIN AND ASSOCIATES - (602) 264-2230